

Appendix C: Summary of Representations on Strategy: Quick Questionnaire

Contents

Q1. Do you agree that we should plan for an extra 550 homes per year, so that new housing keeps up with the increase in jobs in our area?	3
Q2. Do you agree that new development should mainly focus on sites where car travel, and therefore carbon emissions, can be minimised?	4
Q3. We think a major new neighbourhood can be developed at Cambridge East, on the current airport site. What housing, jobs, facilities or open spaces do you think this site should provide?	5
Q4. We think that the area east of Milton Road in Northeast Cambridge (including the current waste water treatment plant) can be developed into a lively and dense city district, after the waste water treatment plant relocates. What housing, jobs, facilities or open spaces do you think this site should provide?	37
Q5. We feel that we should support the development of the Cambridge Biomedical Campus (Addenbrookes) with space for more healthcare facilities, research, and housing. What housing, jobs, facilities, or open spaces should be created around the campus?	75
Q6. We think East-West Rail provides the opportunity for Cambourne to grow up into a proper town. What housing, jobs, facilities or open spaces do you think should be developed in and around Cambourne?	98
Q7. We think that the ‘southern rural cluster’ of villages near the rail line and the business parks south of Cambridge, could see some limited development. What housing, jobs, facilities, or open spaces do you think this area should provide?	124

Q8. We think we should be very limited about the development we allow in villages, with only a few allocated sites in villages with good public transport connections and local services. Which villages do you think should see new development of any kind? ..149

Q9: What housing, jobs, facilities, or open spaces do you think should be provided in and around these villages?167

Q10. Are there any sites which you think should be developed for housing or business use, which we haven't got on our map so far?191

Q11. What kinds of home do you think you will need in the next 20 years? Choose as many as you think may apply to you.201

Q12. What should we prioritise when planning homes for the future? Choose 4 from the following:.....202

Q13. Is there anything else you would like to tell us about what Greater Cambridge should be like in 2041?.....203

Q1. Do you agree that we should plan for an extra 550 homes per year, so that new housing keeps up with the increase in jobs in our area?

Responses	Number of responses / percentage
Strongly Agree	63 / 11%
Agree	115 / 20%
Neutral	90 / 16%
Disagree	111 / 19%
Strongly Disagree	201 / 35%

Q2. Do you agree that new development should mainly focus on sites where car travel, and therefore carbon emissions, can be minimised?

Responses	Number of responses / percentage
Strongly Agree	225 / 39%
Agree	166 / 29%
Neutral	89 / 16%
Disagree	51 / 9%
Strongly Disagree	41 / 7%

Q3. We think a major new neighbourhood can be developed at Cambridge East, on the current airport site. What housing, jobs, facilities or open spaces do you think this site should provide?

Deliverability of the site

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Do not need to build in this area because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It destroys the Cambridge landscape and biodiversity • Creating a never-ending urban sprawl • Transport infrastructure around the area is insufficient to support any new development • Local infrastructure cannot support the people e.g., amenities, GP's, facilities, schools • Already excessive development including Eddington, Darwin, Green, Waterbeach, Northstowe, Marleigh and LNoCH • Contributes to local pollution • Local residents don't want it • It will make life unpleasant for current residents. • Increases congestion and traffic 	<p>2, 5, 7, 18, 20, 22, 30, 36, 49, 62, 64, 71, 75, 81, 90, 92, 100, 109, 123, 134, 138, 171, 226, 251, 257, 267, 286, 290, 316, 353, 382, 395, 414, 431, 436, 457, 461, 469, 485, 486, 507, 588, 592</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is too big • Planned for more housing than nationally required • Don't need for housing • Don't need more jobs • Would put pressure on Cherry Hinton, Coldham's Lane, Teversham • It would exile older residents who need personal transport • local roads, facilities, schools, and GPs are not designed for such population levels 	
Are Marshalls willing to vacate the site & relocate - there's been discussion of this for years?	113
I suspect that given Marshall's are already actively looking at re-locating this is already a done deal?	187
Agree with rational approach in choosing sites.	245, 247, 520, 574
The sites for development should be chosen after a comprehensive evaluation of the impacts on the environment, current and required infrastructure including water, sewage,	439

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
transport and future risks e.g., flooding due to climate change. Looking only at car travel is disingenuous.	

Climate change

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Too much impact on water supplies. How much water will be used from local aquifers already at high demand. Should not be developed until water supply is guaranteed through survey of needs.	28, 123, 134, 141, 242, 255, 283, 291, 311, 373, 378, 431, 436, 485, 495, 521
How much absorbent surface will be lost?	28
Paved areas should be permeable where possible.	255, 510, 511, 526
Should ensure that the local water supply can sustainably cope with the increased demand including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Through new pipelines to wetter parts of the country • Increasing local supply through new reservoirs • Desalination plants along regional coastline 	68, 510, 511, 526

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) 	
Ensure that rain water is captured and used in houses as grey water and then recycled.	148
Everything should be carbon net zero.	86, 218
<p>All homes should of better environmental standard and high quality including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Passivhaus standards for all new build projects Using air source and ground source heat pumps Ventilation systems Airtightness Good insulation water harvesting and saving like at Eddington Unobtrusive solar roof tiles/panels with batteries for storage of excess power Buildings with renewable energy Buildings with natural light to conserve energy Planting close to buildings helps to regulate their heating loss and gain Outstanding BREAAAM rating 	42, 45, 63, 89, 148, 153, 158, 179, 224, 230, 236, 248, 263, 266, 291, 293, 296, 328, 330, 384, 407, 468, 489, 497, 510, 511, 526, 570

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insulating walls and roofs • Green walls and roofs • Nature spaces integrated into design. 	
<p>The flat airport landscape lends itself to siting wind turbines to feed the local power grid; this should be assessed, and a suitable area should be left unobstructed as appropriate.</p>	255
<p>Encourage community renewable energy projects, with any profits going towards local good causes or to invest in more renewables.</p>	89
<p>How does this help in fight against climate change? The economy cannot always come first we have to think of the environment too. We do not always have to keep growing to develop.</p>	123, 173, 276, 495
<p>The climate impact of developing the current airport site must include the carbon cost of removing current embodied energy infrastructure as well as the installation of a new airport.</p>	506
<p>With no track record on creating any development to date that is carbon neutral, this is a sham to suit the needs of developers and banks. Planning Authorities are likely to agree</p>	495, 506, 596

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
compromises during negotiation with developers - for example the number of social housing units is often reduced.	
This will increase already existing impacts on air quality due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New building works with generators providing power instead of taking it from the national grid. 	134

Biodiversity and green spaces

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Provision of green space should be more than the national minimum green area/resident capita in order to protect and promote the environment and human wellbeing.	26, 63, 84
Should provide biodiversity through planting and green landscaping of all scales including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • re-foresting the airport site • increasing woodland and small woodlands • other green carbon reducing areas • re-wilding at the edges 	12, 28, 29, 42, 54, 63, 75, 89, 93, 129, 135, 166, 181, 231, 233, 238, 262, 263, 266, 276, 282, 287, 291, 311, 330, 340, 343, 358, 363, 367, 368, 371, 384 376, 378, 385, 386, 387, 394, 401, 404, 406, 411, 423, 463, 476, 484, 500, 527, 537, 553, 562, 568, 588

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • open space for other species • promote wildflowers on verges • green corridors for wildlife access • mature and young trees • bushes • ponds • lakes • insect hotels • mixed hedgerows • meadows • not just sterile urban planning • leave to go wild • hedgehog highways • marshland • bacteriological barriers. 	
<p>The link through a corridor of natural and semi-natural habitat should be maintained, ideally by the creation of a new Local Nature Reserve (LNR).</p>	<p>17, 129, 135, 387, 519, 566</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
If Marshall did move, then the area should become a wooded area like Wandlebury enabling local recreation.	90, 183, 242
Significant potential effect on biodiversity including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • deer • owls • newts • mice • voles • wildflowers • bees • butterflies • sustainable chalk streams. 	109, 255
Existing sites are already at capacity including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wandlebury • Anglesey Abbey • Fulbourn Fen 	373, 592
The grassland of the airport does remove some carbon and supports a certain amount of species diversity.	330

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Should provide outdoor community spaces including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green spaces • Country park • Recreational parks • Pocket parks • Allotments • Gardening areas and community gardens • Herb and flower garden • 'Mini CoFarms' • Food growing spaces • Sport facilities e.g., a new athletics track (as the only one is on the west side of Cambridge) • Tennis/basketball courts • Playing and sports fields • Football pitches • Outdoor recreational play areas / parks for children and teenagers • Splash pools • Free exercise facilities/outdoor gym 	<p>6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 23, 29, 31, 32, 40, 45, 52, 53, 63, 70, 77, 79, 84, 101, 106, 119, 120, 121, 126, 127, 128, 130, 135, 144, 148, 150, 151, 155, 157, 158, 162, 174, 179, 190, 201, 206, 212, 215, 220, 221, 224, 228, 230, 231, 236, 237, 238, 239, 247, 248, 253, 261, 262, 264, 266, 267, 274, 278, 280, 282, 283, 284, 287, 293, 296, 299, 306, 309, 311, 315, 317, 318, 319, 321, 323, 325, 327, 330, 340, 342, 343, 345, 349, 350, 351, 352, 356, 362, 363, 364, 367, 368, 371, 373, 375, 376, 378, 379, 384, 385, 386, 387, 393, 394, 400, 401, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 409, 413, 415, 418, 419, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 433, 437, 445, 449, 450, 454, 459, 468, 473, 474, 477, 480, 482, 483, 484, 487, 490, 491, 492, 493, 500, 502, 504, 505, 508, 509, 510, 511, 515, 518, 525, 526, 527, 528, 535, 537, 544, 545, 547, 548, 550, 551, 554, 562, 565, 567, 568, 570, 572, 574, 582, 583, 584, 586, 590, 596</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High quality open spaces for city to use • Public benches and picnic tables • BMX park and track • Bridleways • Dog walking areas • Skateboarding ramps and ledges • Well-lit skateparks for children, young people and adults • MUGAs. 	
Green spaces in between housing, not just on the edge of a housing development.	179
<p>Keep development to a minimum as you are in danger of destroying the very elements of living here including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • green spaces • countryside • separation with Teversham • nearby nature reserves such as Fulbourn Fen Nature Reserve, Little Wilbraham Nature Reserve, Little Wilbraham River and Quy Water. 	200, 397, 484, 486, 855

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Development opportunity to build sustainably on brownfield sites.	200, 365, 397, 498
Build as much at Cambridge East rather than spoil the villages.	370
Ensure integrated completely with CBC.	454
It should all be open space to compensate for open space taken already by new developments such as Eddington and Northstowe.	115

Wellbeing and social inclusion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Should provide indoor community facilities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community centres like Clay Farm • Communal hub/hall with kitchen facilities for cooking/community kitchen and food sharing • Community centre for group uses and special hire • Community café • Meeting places 	6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 29, 31, 32, 47, 50, 51, 53, 55, 56, 58, 73, 74, 77, 79, 85, 96, 106, 108, 113, 121, 127, 128, 129, 130, 135, 146, 147, 151, 157, 171, 174, 177, 179, 190, 192, 212, 213, 215, 220, 221, 224, 229, 230, 232, 233, 238, 246, 248, 261, 267, 274, 279, 280, 284, 291, 293, 296, 309, 315, 317, 324, 325, 327, 330, 331, 334, 340, 343, 345, 348, 350, 351, 356, 359, 361, 363, 367, 371, 375, 383, 386, 388, 389, 392. 400,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New public swimming pools • NHS dentists • Pharmacies • Opticians • Libraries • Doctors surgeries • Small scale respite care facilities • Medical facilities • Mental health support hub • Nurseries • Primary and secondary schools • High schools • Special needs schools • Church centre • Indoor play parks for children and teenagers • Indoor skate facilities to accompany the ice skating rink • Youth clubs and facilities • Faith centres • Education facilities 	<p>403, 405, 407, 411, 415, 416, 419, 423, 425, 426, 432, 433, 434, 437, 449, 450, 454, 456, 459, 463, 466, 468, 474, 483, 487, 488, 489, 490, 493, 498, 502, 504, 505, 508, 509, 514, 515, 518, 519, 525, 535, 537, 538, 540, 544, 547, 548, 549, 551, 560, 562, 568, 570, 572, 575, 584, 586, 590, 597</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Places of worship • Facilities for surrounding neighbourhoods e.g., Barnwell • Village hall. 	
Should provide space for Cambridge United Football Club.	253, 577
<p>One respondent asked for the following things:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An indoor skatepark facility should be provided in this area to accompany the existing ice-skating rink. • It should be managed by the GLL Better leisure provider. It could be incorporated within a multifunctional sports and leisure facility, including swimming pool with flumes and water play, climbing walls, trampolining, competitive BMX race track and top of the range soft play space to encourage family use throughout the year. • This would be the only facility of its kind in Cambridgeshire and would help address the under provision for young people and families. • It should not be a quantum of open space provision, but actual facilities that will be used and enjoyed for generations to come. 	514

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sell the Abbey swimming pool site for housing and spend the money on a new facility at Marshall's. 	
Should provide ambulance and police standby location.	279
More money should be given for Addenbrooke's hospital to expand and support the health of the people moving to Cambridgeshire. It is a disgrace that Addenbrooke's is being left without support.	295, 368
Access within 15 minutes to all primary care services, schools, and essential shopping to minimise travel.	190, 232, 289, 425, 468, 490, 497, 508, 510, 511, 526, 544, 545, 548, 571, 572, 586
The need for housing in Cambridge is for people who earn the national average income or less. This is what our community needs. Should support underprovided groups and respond to social issues e.g., homelessness.	495, 496, 506, 521
Provision of a cemetery.	12
Provision of community centres and open spaces before residents move in, not several years later as done elsewhere including Northstowe and Cambourne.	106, 232, 268

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
A thoughtful plan, providing a balanced mix of on-site work, social and cultural facilities will be developed, creating a community and identity of its own. Early promises will be quickly forgotten as developers do the calculations and figure out how much more they can earn by building more houses.	171, 173, 196, 212, 244, 265, 282, 290, 294, 315, 425, 429, 450, 454, 473, 486, 489, 490, 495, 498, 506, 540, 545, 565, 581, 595, 596
Design communities that benefit people’s mental health and wellbeing, to build a cohesive suburb where people want to live, and communities cohesively support each other. Cambridge is overdeveloped and the quality of life must remain a key criterion.	212, 251, 262, 291, 306, 327, 347, 349, 356, 363, 378, 386, 387, 496, 500, 596
Safe open streets for children.	544
Should use lessons learnt from growth of CBC where infrastructure surrounding the site are polluted, noisy, and has antisocial behaviour.	308
Lessons learnt from other new developments including CB1, Trumpington, Orchard Park where there are high levels of antisocial behaviour and crime. Council should take action and protect the community rather than ignoring the issue.	106

Great Places

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Should use precedent such as Garden City design and ethos with excellent design standards, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural surveillance • No fenced blocks or flats • No cul de sacs • Should feel safe • Should be attractive • Should be sympathetic to architecture of Cambridge • Avoid style of housing that creates the feel of 'little boxes in a row' • Architectural variety to avoid monochrome flats • Must not be a dormitory • Encourage vibrancy throughout day and night • Serviced 24/7 by public service. 	<p>26, 278, 291, 356, 470, 482, 492, 525, 561, 568, 584, 582, 592, 596</p>
<p>A learning centre teaching others how to build sustainable communities.</p>	<p>54</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Art spaces with changing exhibitions. Have a fourth plinth style system that allows residents to choose the artwork, and have it change every 2-5 years to keep fresh artwork that stays relevant.	502
Part of Marshall was in the Green Belt, and taken out for them to expand, moving that land should return to greenbelt.	90
Green Belt should be replaced/extended and be accessible if built on at all.	330, 339, 363, 566
Think outside the box, this will impact future generations.	365
Should celebrate the aviation heritage of this space.	551

Jobs

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Should provide out of town, accessible retail, and leisure facilities, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supermarket • newsagents • convenience store 	9, 11, 29, 31, 32, 46, 50, 51, 53, 56, 73, 77, 78, 89, 93, 108, 113, 127, 128, 129, 130, 135, 143, 144, 146, 147, 148, 155, 157, 158. 179, 187, 201, 220, 229, 238, 246, 247, 248, 261, 262, 264, 266, 267, 278, 279, 280, 284, 296, 309, 311, 315, 323, 325, 327, 331, 340, 350, 359, 362, 364, 375, 375, 386,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cafes/coffee shops • space for local craft and farmers markets • independent shops • post office • hardware stores • restaurants • public houses • nightlife facilities • entertainment • leisure facilities • a cinema • bowling alleys • gym • live music and sports venues • creative spaces • butchers • green grocers • petrol station • toy stores 	<p>389, 392, 403, 405, 416, 426, 450, 454, 459, 466, 470, 474, 478, 483, 493, 515, 519, 525, 535, 537, 538, 540, 545, 547, 549, 551, 552, 560, 562, 567, 568, 572, 575, 577, 584, 586, 590, 597</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • hospitality 	
Should provide more facilities that will contribute to a circular economy in the city.	6
Retail Park to prevent having to go to the city centre or along Newmarket Road.	130, 538
Should service the established Tech / Silicon Fen in terms enabling companies already here to develop and expand.	191
More of a focus on remote working, enabling people to work where they like and less need for office space.	168, 385, 496, 500
Has COVID impact on homeworking been considered and fact that a large proportion of people now want to live in rural community.	520
<p>Should provide a mix and variety of job sectors and employment opportunities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • local businesses • not just chain supermarkets • diverse local foods, markets and goods • small start-ups • workshops 	12, 56, 58, 64, 67, 79, 89, 93, 96, 112, 127, 129, 135, 147, 148, 155, 174, 177, 190, 191, 201, 206, 212, 229, 236, 237, 247, 261, 266, 267, 274, 289, 293, 296, 301, 311, 323, 330, 342, 350, 352, 359, 364, 376, 379, 386, 407, 422, 450, 454, 459, 477, 486, 493, 500, 502, 510, 511, 526, 545, 560, 567, 568, 570, 572, 583

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • space for repairs and shared tools • offices/shared office environments • light commercial units • light industrial units • Affordable/low-cost retail units • An enterprise area • Early product development facilities • Manufacturing space • 'Green jobs' • Lab space • Research jobs. 	
Employment opportunities for low skilled workers, including apprenticeships and training for local people.	190, 400, 489
An eastern science/business park to rival/complement those on the north of Cambridge with associated housing.	170, 284
Should not close functioning airport which has been a source of engineering jobs for decades. The removal of the site will displace skilled workforce. Where will these people be able find jobs to work at nearby?	20, 41, 80, 90, 251, 283, 503, 568, 579

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Support existing jobs only - don't attract any new business or jobs.	119, 173, 248, 304, 330, 378, 385
Opportunity to relocate many businesses currently spread along Newmarket Road between Coldham's Lane and the football ground, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DIY • Electrical goods 	432, 577
Good commercial links to the site.	563
Employers would want to choose from a pool of people applying for jobs, not just from people living in those new development sites.	259
Don't think people would want to live next to their place of work.	259
Not convinced how the council proposes to offer jobs.	281

Homes

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Provision of homes is critical. Should provide a mix of housing that is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • truly affordable including rental properties • at least 20% affordable housing • up to 50% affordable housing • socially inclusive e.g., for tradespeople who can support the new local community • of ranging size and mix including 3-bedroom homes, apartments and maisonettes, small starter homes, single occupancy homes • social housing, bungalows, town houses, detached and terraced • accommodation for NHS staff and key workers • suitable for young people • social housing specifically for those who have lived in Cambridge since birth • sheltered housing 	<p>8, 11, 16, 24, 25, 29, 31, 33, 53, 54, 58, 61, 67, 73, 76, 79, 112, 113, 127, 130, 135, 136, 146, 151, 159, 162, 173, 174, 177, 178, 179, 187, 190, 201, 204, 206, 221, 223, 228, 229, 238, 241, 251, 262, 263, 264, 266, 267, 268, 274, 284, 293, 296, 306, 311, 315, 317, 319, 321, 323, 327, 340, 342, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 362, 365, 378, 383, 384, 385, 388, 389, 392, 401, 416, 418, 419, 420, 421, 423, 432, 437, 438, 442, 443, 449, 474, 475, 477, 479, 484, 486, 491, 493, 498, 527, 531, 538, 539, 540, 545, 550, 551, 552, 554, 555, 562, 565, 566, 567, 568, 575, 578, 582, 583, 584, 590, 596</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • co-housing developments and community-led housing projects • off-grid housing • low cost (with maximum output) • actual council housing • low-rise • not over-priced and inflated for developers • shared ownership with long leases • no ground rent, right to manage and no service charges • adaptable housing • imaginatively planned housing • council housing like in Norwich • no luxury houses or flats • less 'executive housing' like Eddington and Trumpington Meadows (that is unaffordable). 	
Should limit student accommodation.	241
Provision of well-designed homes including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • with good sized gardens • roof gardens 	236, 237, 253, 266, 296, 407, 418, 424, 491, 493, 526, 550, 554, 567, 575, 584, 594

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • private space • flats with large balconies • homes with lots of storage • space available to work from home • downstairs toilets for the elderly • use example of Marmalade Lane 	
Encourage renovation of existing housing stock and other existing buildings (including converting current airport facilities) to cut carbon cost.	173
<p>Numbers of homes proposed on this site are too low and should provide higher density to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ease the housing crisis • increase housing affordability • reduce long-distance commuting • support efficient public transport. 	66
Numbers of homes proposed on site are too high and should deliver fewer houses than planned.	175, 386, 480, 562
Mixture of low-density mid-rise housing to minimize the burden placed on water infrastructure, transport and the environment.	120, 239, 397

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Should include space for informal outdoor camping and for the Gypsy and Traveller community to use as a transit stop.	12
The site has good access to employment areas such as Addenbrookes so should provide housing for potential employees of these locations.	68
New housing should be built further out of Cambridge to help provide infrastructure and employment to historical areas that are poor in this e.g., the Fens. Better to build next to a new park & ride site.	111, 461
Limit the amount of overseas investment in the housing market in Cambridge. No property should be allowed to stand empty.	223, 443, 550, 554
May be a challenge for housing delivery depending on the plan for the airport usage in the mid/long-term.	301
No opinion on housing.	166

Infrastructure

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Should make it a car-free development.	6, 119, 143, 208, 248, 263, 468, 527, 544, 545, 552

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
See example of car-free Vauban in Freiburg, Germany or Cayala in Guatemala.	
Should provide plenty of parking for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • residents and visitors • including underground parking • encourage electric car use in future • people living there that need a car. 	19, 29, 233, 236, 261, 340, 351, 392, 474, 488, 554
Don't provide parking spaces for cars and do not allow for future conversion of front gardens to parking spaces. This would be a showpiece of an alternative approach to living.	175, 208, 266, 425, 490, 510, 511, 526, 571
Should not negatively impact on existing infrastructure including water, drainage, sewage, gas and electricity.	189, 351, 480
Fully self-contained site where travel is kept to a minimum.	163, 189, 195, 201, 218, 350, 405, 459, 504, 505, 540, 544, 547, 548, 570, 572, 573, 586
Car trips should only be allowed for trips east of the site.	144
Zero carbon transport.	158, 256, 497, 510, 511, 526
Hireable cars (including electric).	59,
Electric Vehicle charging stations and access for people at their homes. And EV pods.	29, 45, 147, 203, 233, 340, 594

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Should build infrastructure before housing and other uses.	260
<p>Should provide cycling infrastructure including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cycle paths with separate bike lanes • bike stands • communal bike sheds for residential streets • cycle storage for cargo bikes • off-road cycle routes • well-lit cycle networks connecting Cambridge to other areas • paths that have sufficient capacity at peak times without crowding e.g., that occurs on the guided busway from Trumpington to the station • paths safe for children to allow for independence (see the Netherlands) • built in line with Local Transport Note (LTN) 1/20 • learn from mistakes in GB1 and 2. 	12, 15, 16, 50, 70, 76, 77, 79, 89, 101, 106, 108, 121, 142, 156, 179, 218, 233, 239, 240, 253, 264, 266, 278, 280, 284, 306, 311, 340, 367, 379, 394, 411, 425, 490, 497, 510, 511, 526, 527, 545, 552, 571, 572, 573
Too far for most people to cycle into town particularly, for the elderly who cannot use bus services. Promoting cycling and limiting car use is for advantaged groups of people. Cannot stop people using cars or taxi services for vulnerable groups.	192, 272, 275, 306, 560
Should provide regular, reliable public transport links (inc. free buses) to the surrounding areas including:	15, 16, 29, 31, 33, 46, 50, 58, 70, 77, 79, 85, 89, 99, 101, 108, 111, 131, 144, 179, 190, 206, 218, 228, 229, 253, 262, 280,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cambridge city centre • South Cambridgeshire • Cambridge North and South stations • Addenbrooke's/Royal Papworth Hospital • Science Park • New east-west railway • Newmarket • From Tesco's through housing areas and along to beehive centre • Areas of new development • Retail parks • Cambridge Biomedical Campus • Abbey leisure centre • Cambridge Ice Rink 	<p>306, 309, 311, 343, 352, 373, 375, 389, 404, 416, 425, 466, 488, 490, 493, 498, 508, 510, 511, 525, 526, 527, 530, 534. 545, 551, 565, 571, 572, 580, 581, 582, 584, 597</p>
Should have high quality bus shelters at all bus stops.	253, 275
Provision of a new public transport hub e.g., Park and Ride or a bus way.	29, 233, 256, 411, 508, 575
Connection to the rail network including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of a train station near to Cherry Hinton • A station constructed on the existing line to Ipswich. 	29, 77, 510
Provision of light railway or rapid transport e.g., DLR, Metro station, underground or CAM project due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • buses being too infrequent • nobody wants to travel by bus 	59, 82, 108, 192, 203, 260, 262, 424

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> underground is a good alternative to car use. 	
<p>Improvements to existing road networks to reduce traffic on already congested routes, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Newmarket Road (Including between Barnwell and Elizabeth Way roundabout) Mill Road Coldham's Lane Cherry Hinton. 	29, 77, 82, 87, 99, 203, 211, 373, 378, 419, 466, 519, 560, 582, 592
<p>Should have well-lit paths (with CCTV) separate from any roads, for walking and skating, with cut throughs between streets for quick access. Eddington is a good example of shared-use paths that are well used.</p>	45, 137, 190, 264, 278, 298, 328, 367, 379, 407
<p>Wide roads for easy movement, including for vans and trailers.</p>	261, 306
<p>Provision of public toilets.</p>	545
<p>Multiple well-designed entrances and exits to the site.</p>	261, 299
<p>Reducing road capacity will not represent the ordinary voters of the area, or the viability of Cambridge as a commercial and retail centre.</p>	306
<p>Do not introduce tarmacked cycle tracks over Coldham's Common in order to connect to Cambridge East. Existing road</p>	445

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
structure can be modified without planning more cycle tracks on Coldham's Common.	
High volume of traffic this would be worsened with large local neighbourhood goods being built, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Foxtan station level crossing • Newmarket Road • During construction. 	92, 150, 433, 503, 521, 577, 592
Good recycling infrastructure including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recycling centre • Facilities for recycling electrical items and repair of broken/damaged goods. • Similar to as in Eddington. 	12, 29, 179, 262
Underground delivery points for goods and maintenance.	54
Drop-off and pick-up parcel points.	158
Good digital connectivity such as fast broadband for working from home, and innovative sectors that need reliable internet connectivity.	29, 500, 551, 594
Need a detailed and new public transport proposals.	82
Should provide an airport for the Cambridge area.	27
It will need appropriate drainage and sewage processing plant.	113, 260

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Should not provide a new sewage treatment site.	339

Other comments

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No comment.	57, 185, 270, 332, 533
Don't feel qualified to comment.	374, 595
Has this site already got planning permission?	10
Heard that the option of moving the airport wouldn't be able to progress?	506
Retain Green Belt at Honey Hill	63
This is a leading question, why is there no option to say we do or don't agree to development at Cambridge East?	117, 223, 382, 495
More honesty required as developments are agreed long before the public are made aware.	202, 495
As developers have the upper hand in all development decisions and have shown again and again their willingness to	495

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
tear up agreed plans once the projects commence what have up put in place that legally stops them doing this?	

Q4. We think that the area east of Milton Road in Northeast Cambridge (including the current waste water treatment plant) can be developed into a lively and dense city district, after the waste water treatment plant relocates. What housing, jobs, facilities or open spaces do you think this site should provide?

Opinion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Object, for reasons including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Involves relocation onto a Green Belt/ greenfield site • Disagree with idea of ‘dense’ city • Impact on biodiversity / environment • Post-Covid, people want gardens, not density • Disagree with city growing/ over-expanding • Address infrastructure issues before expanding • Re-wild the area • City is already congested • Sewage works was recently upgraded, so this is a waste of money with no benefits for Horningsea or Cambridge. Sewage plant has capacity till 2050 	<p>2, 4, 5, 18, 20, 22, 25, 26, 27, 30, 39, 41, 45, 49, 57, 58, 60, 62, 63, 64, 71, 75, 79, 80, 81, 100, 111, 113, 115, 123, 130, 131, 134, 138, 146, 148, 155, 177, 183, 185, 205, 210, 226, 250, 251, 256, 268, 272, 277, 281, 283, 286, 290, 304, 324, 332, 345, 353, 356, 362, 378, 382, 385, 392, 393, 395, 409, 427, 428, 429, 431, 433, 436, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 448, 460, 461, 469, 479, 480, 484, 485, 486, 495, 496, 507, 518, 539, 553, 554, 556, 558, 576, 577, 578, 584, 588, 594, 596</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is not tenable for anything apart from industrial use • Ruin valuable agricultural land, which contravenes policy CC/CS • Will devastate local community • Have council not learnt from mistakes of high-rises in 60s/ 70s? • People need access to green spaces, but Milton Country Park, but it is at capacity. Approving this would strain it further and mean they don't have access to enough green space. It will also strain the River Cam • Waste should be processed where it is produced and not fair to put this onto the villages • Unless water supply issue is sorted then dense developments should be avoided • Poor use of government funding • Will have an adverse effect on air quality • Isn't this area prone to flooding? • City is already dense + vibrant- leave it alone • No reasons given 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The same wealthy people will buy the apartments and rent them out • Will just give dividend to shareholders • Will lead to poor mental health • Recent developments such as Eddington have failed to build a 'lively development' so developers will also fail here. • Concern about the word 'dense' • Don't build unless better place for treatment plant is found • Many of the negative effects have been missed out of your consultations/ the proposal will push us far from Net zero aims • Can't this occur outside of Cambridge? • With the Marshall's site we will have enough housing • Proposal needs to be reviewed in light of change in working habits. 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anglia Water carried out consultation in 2020, but there was more support for it staying where it currently is than alternatives • Will harm quality of life of existing residents • Homes will have cars anyway and contribute to fumes • Disagree with density as will have to be fit to live in flats • Resident’s living in high-rise flats will have a poor quality of life due to the A14 • Moving sewage works contravenes policy GP/GB of Local Plan. • It will harm the historical setting of Cambridge and impact nearby conservation areas. • In relation to policy CC/NZ, Carbon expenditure, emissions, to decommission a fully operational CWWTP and decontaminate site and build new plant within 1 mile of existing inclusive of transfer tunnels, HGV traffic etc., should be factored into carbon cost of fulfilling S/NEC Policy 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Should put the proposed housing in the Green Belt (where the treatment plant is mooted to move to) rather than putting the housing in current proposed location, as it would save money and tonnes of carbon	71
Ask for a mixed development without going into detail about what should be included	53, 86, 96, 174, 196, 244, 543, 551
Keep the current plant and develop it with low-density housing / keep plant and put social housing on site	130, 460
Need as much development as a small town would need?	137,
Should not be delivered until water supply is guaranteed	141
<p>Should build at a lower density. Comments included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Either commercial space or housing should be reduced. • The pandemic has highlighted that many people are looking for more space, both internal and external. • The surrounding areas are not built-up so it would not be in keeping with the suburban/rural feeling of this part of Cambridge • Don't just cram in a load of sub-standard housing. 	63, 101, 112, 203, 224, 264, 291, 330, 359, 383, 386, 500, 521, 527, 578, 594
Support building it high/ dense	15, 66, 190, 544, 565

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Would prefer other sites to be prioritised	156
Government have given money, but this will need facilities, schools, pastoral care, all of which are likely to be overlooked for financial gain of housing	187
Balanced amount of development as appropriate to a normal town	174
I have some concern that this area as planned will become the low-income Qtr. of Cambridge while house to the South of City and I expect East will become the high value / high income area/ one commentator worried it might become "banlieue" on edge of rich city	191, 339
Given the site's proximity to Cambridge North station, it should not become another area of housing for London commuters and not addressing the housing need relating to local jobs. This has happened in the area by the existing station, i.e., property has been bought by commuting Londoners. Same mistakes need to be avoided	247
Support new development, but wastewater treatment plant's relocation should not damage small villages or ecosystems	261

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
If this area doesn't flood it's OK to build	289
Support development/ Good opportunity to use and repurpose land	301, 317, 498
I think the modified plans as recently published are beginning to get there, but there should be replacement of the Green Belt	330
Mixed feelings about the development as it will put pressure on existing green spaces and water supply issue, but it will have excellent transport links	373
No preference	397
Adhere to 15-minute city principles	425, 459, 468, 490, 497, 510, 511, 526, 545, 571
Support but caveats, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need 100% support of surrounding villages • the sewage problems must be improved not to pollute Cam river any further • Delivery of the proposals in the plan is contingent on water supply being adequate without causing further environmental harm. 	548

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
This is an 'overkill' solution to Cambridge's housing problems, given that there is already planned so much more housing at Cambridge Airport, Marleigh and Waterbeach.	578, 594
The overall new development in all locations needs to be definitive and balanced.	595

Climate change

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>New homes to meet high environmental standards, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New houses should be net zero • Solar panels • Heat source pumps • Build to Passivhaus standard • Build to environmental standard of Eddington • Greywater harvesting • Reduce concrete use 	29, 42, 63, 89, 109, 148, 153, 158, 179, 218, 223, 224, 230,233, 248, 263, 293, 328, 363, 388, 407, 468, 489, 494, 564, 570

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planting close to buildings to help regulate heating loss and gain • Ventilation systems • Air tightness as standard • Not gas • Should maintain optimum levels of water-use, i.e., vacuum assist toilets • Green roofs • Planting on verges • All pavements and parking spaces should be permeable to allow water to drain into landscape and not rush off to disrupt chalk streams and the Cam • Rain gardens in streets allowing deluges to be absorbed rather than putting pressure on water removal/flooding • Green landscaping to include trees 	
Development must be carbon net-zero	86, 101, 143
Encourage community renewable projects with profits used for good causes	89
Encourage retrofitting before new housing	

Biodiversity and green spaces

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Green spaces, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open spaces • Room for nature to thrive • A county park • Biodiversity planting • Insect hotels • Lakes • Parks • Green spaces should be wild, rather than just a patch of grass • Quality green recreation area • Nature reserve • Encourage wildlife • Green spaces in between developments not just at edge • Tree planting • One commentator specifically asked for 3 open spaces • Open spaces should be landscaped 	<p>6, 8, 11, 12, 16, 23, 32, 38, 53, 63, 70, 81, 84, 86, 89, 93, 96, 106, 126, 127, 128, 135, 136, 143, 144, 148, 151, 158, 162, 163, 166, 171, 177, 179, 190, 191, 192, 196, 206, 216, 230, 231, 233, 238, 239, 244, 251, 261, 262, 263, 264, 267, 268, 274, 275, 276, 278, 282, 291, 293, 296, 306, 309, 315, 318, 319, 321, 323, 325, 337, 340, 343, 347, 349, 350, 352, 359, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 370, 371, 375, 376, 379, 386, 387, 399, 401, 403, 404, 405, 406, 415, 417, 418, 423, 424, 425, 445, 449, 459, 466, 468, 474, 476, 477, 482, 483, 484, 487, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 500, 501, 504, 508, 510, 511, 521, 525, 526, 531, 535, 543, 544, 545, 547, 548, 550, 551, 557, 561, 562, 564, 565, 566, 571, 567, 573, 579, 582, 583</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecologically useful places • Native shrubs and trees to reduce the 'heat island' effect • Pocket parks are needed • Hedgehog highways • Parks should be linked up with safe and convenient walking and cycling routes to each other and to all the residential neighbourhoods surrounding them + should be safe for children to access by foot. • Parks within the built-up area should be overlooked by houses and shops, with a welcoming design that encourages interaction with the surrounding community, and which feels safe throughout the day. • Nature reserves connecting to existing reserves • A recreation ground for each group of streets • Housing should offer natural surveillance • Connect to the river 	
<p>Food growing comments, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allotments • Community food growing 	<p>6, 12, 127 135, 253, 262, 325, 337, 349, 363, 371, 400, 401, 508, 545</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Orchards • Space for peri-urban agriculture • Good composting facilities • Community kitchen • A community farm extension project here and make it an innovative community with green heating, sedum roofs etc • Balconies are needed where food can be grown • Community fridge's • Multi-purpose space for farmer's market • Gardening area 	
Provision for informal camping for Traveller community	12
More open space than has been currently proposed/ not bare minimum	38, 84, 473
new development should have access to green spaces and ensure residents don't have to travel across town	93, 242, 291
The proposed development is too large in relation to its impact upon Milton Country Park/ new development shouldn't put	109, 216, 484, 521, 527, 557

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
pressure on existing developments/ not enough to encourage locals to stay local	
Recreation areas should be dog-free	228
The recreational areas should be easy to maintain	228
Protect the river area as a green oasis	317
It should be 100% green space/ all land given to a new forest or park	75, 393, 469, 588,
Land will need to be taken from agricultural land around Cambridge to provide enough green space for residents as it currently does not look like enough will be provided	484
Open spaces corresponding to the work opportunities created in the area.	493
Possible replacement of the open grassland with more formal park facilities. Greater emphasis on mixture of retail outlets.	543
Half the area should be allocated as green open space,	562
Milton Country Park is nearby so the need for open space is reduced	564

Wellbeing and social inclusion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Sports facilities, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Swimming pool • Athletics track • Splash pools • Free outdoor exercise facilities/ outdoor gym • Tennis court • Basketball court • Skateboard facilities/ skatepark both indoor and outdoor skatepark to match Trumpington's • Riding school • Football pitch • Cycling routes 	<p>6, 9, 12, 13, 90, 96, 106, 128, 163, 166, 171, 174, 177, 187, 190, 191, 192, 196, 213, 215, 244, 264, 267, 282, 293, 309, 331, 337, 340, 350, 367, 370, 375, 406, 407, 413, 417, 422, 425, 437, 449, 459, 463, 490, 502, 504, 505, 508, 510, 511, 514, 525, 526, 528, 531, 543, 545, 549, 551, 570, 571, 573, 582</p>
<p>Community / leisure facilities, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community centres • Libraries • Playgrounds for children (a respondent asked for 5) + playgrounds aligned with best practise i.e., not 	<p>6, 9, 12, 13, 29, 51, 53, 67, 90, 96, 106, 128, 135, 147, 148, 151, 155, 158, 162, 163, 166, 171, 173, 177, 187, 190, 191, 192, 196, 212, 215, 230, 233, 238, 244, 246, 248, 264, 267, 279, 282, 284, 289, 293, 296, 309, 330, 331, 343, 348, 350, 359, 367, 370, 375, 383, 386, 388, 400, 401, 403, 405, 407,</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>segregated by age or ability, natural features, accessible, supports risk and challenge, no fence, open to all.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hang-out spaces for teenagers • Youth clubs • Cycle track for older children • Meeting places for adults • Cinema • Climbing wall • Go-Karting • Theme Park • A meeting point for the community • Clubs • Entertainment venues • Information hub • Community apartments for the locality to book • Hotels • public spaces that can be used for local fetes and markets too 	<p>411, 417, 419, 425, 437, 449, 459, 463, 466, 468, 489, 490, 493, 504, 505, 508, 510, 511, 519, 525, 531, 543, 545, 549, 551, 564, 565, 570, 571, 572, 582</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public toilets 	
Out of town shopping/ cinema complex	11
An open area that can be used for outdoor shows	11, 54
Farmers markets and events	11
Cemetery	12
Youth club	12
Healthcare institutions, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GP surgeries • Convalescent/ respite care • Dentists • Opticians • Mental health hub • Care home 	9, 12, 29, 50, 51, 73, 74, 90, 96, 106, 127, 128, 163, 166, 171, 174, 177, 179, 187, 190, 196, 216, 244, 267, 274, 280, 293, 296, 309, 325, 330, 331, 350, 351, 367, 370, 386, 407, 415, 423, 425, 434, 456, 459, 466, 487, 490, 493, 504, 505, 508, 510, 511, 519, 525, 543, 549, 545, 551, 557, 571, 574
Education/ childcare: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary schools • Secondary schools • Nurseries • Pastoral care • Special needs schools 	9, 13, 29, 50, 51, 67, 73, 74, 90, 96, 128, 135, 147, 163, 166, 171, 174, 179, 187, 190, 196, 216, 229, 244, 248, 261, 267, 274, 279, 280, 293, 296, 309, 315, 331, 340, 350, 351, 361, 367, 370, 386, 388, 403, 411, 423, 425, 434, 456, 459, 484, 487, 490, 493, 504, 505, 508, 510, 511, 519, 525, 535, 543, 545, 547, 549, 551, 564, 571, 580, 590

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Leisure facilities	51, 229, 282
Faith facilities	56
A major theatre/ concert hall is needed as the current cultural infrastructure is inadequate	78
Artists' studios are needed	78
Outdoor space for sport, health and fitness	78
Need to actually deliver community/ leisure facilities otherwise this will strain existing facilities. Deliver at the start, not like at Cambourne	90, 468,
Activities shouldn't 'cost the earth' to enjoy, i.e., fishing lake at Milton used to be cheap, but now £700 membership a year	236
Ambulance/police standby location	279
Safe community, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCTV • Well-lit footpaths 	328
Given the lack of provision in nearby areas (e.g. Chesterton) and the pressures on Milton Country Park, there must be good provision for sports, leisure and wellbeing	572

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>What is being done to increase beds and staff at the hospitals?</p> <p>It's easy to build new schools but health provision and social services provision doesn't increase proportionately with the population growth</p>	368

Great Places

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Needs to have a good centre with amenities to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • avoid it feeling sterile • reduce negative carbon output • create a community • Needs to be a modification of Trumpington/ Eddington model- support more local diversity • Essentially a micro-city within the city and not just a blob of houses that satellites its resources 	15, 93, 190, 376, 405, 459, 489, 504, 508, 545, 540, 561, 571
<p>Cambridge city centre is 'the old' culture, so how about this site being 'the new'. See how they do that in Valencia, with the Old</p>	78

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Town centre and new 'City of Arts & Sciences' - the two sit beautifully together.	
Whatever is built needs to be sympathetic to its surroundings	224, 482
Need to deliver a thoughtful plan that builds a sense of community and not imitate Cambourne's mistakes where developers have built too many houses compared to community amenities, transport, leisure facilities and outdoor markets	171, 364
Mid-rise housing that makes good use of space and leaves public open spaces available for parks, public squares, outdoor seating, cycle lanes etc.	239
New neighbourhoods should focus on urban design that prioritises walking, cycling and convenience I.e., no cul de sacs, no fenced blocks of flats with only one entrance	278, 425, 459, 490, 510, 511, 526, 545, 557, 571
Avoid excessive road space to create a cycling / walking campus with high quality landscape (like Accordia)	287
The working and living areas must be well divided and screened with attractive planting and trees.	358
Quiet streets are needed	401

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
shops are needed to take the pressure off town	475
Changing art space. Have a fourth plinth style system that allows residents to choose the artwork and have it change every 2-5 years to keep fresh artwork that stays relevant.	502
A new neighbourhood must not be a dormitory. Make entire neighbourhoods so that all essentials can be accessed locally to minimise need to travel, not increase car traffic on roads.	405, 459, 425, 490, 508, 510, 511, 525, 526, 545, 557, 561, 571
It would be good to preserve the rural character.	538
Further reduction to the height of buildings should be strongly considered without any reduction of green spaces.	548
Use of the residential "tower" above retail/leisure facilities can generate great vibrancy and allow good areas of public green space within a somewhat restricted site.	568
Focus on green spaces, not just cramming loads of houses in to maximise profits. Design communities that benefit people's mental health and well-being and include community and business facilities where the community can get to know each other.	212

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
This is a vast site, and any development must be planned with great care so that we don't finish up with another Trumpington entrance to Cambridge. More pleasing house designs (in keeping with historic Cambridge.	424
main policy focus of a local plan should be to design for children. If you make it work for them, you make it work for everyone.	545

Jobs

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Comments relating to jobs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Variety of jobs needed • Spaces needed for start ups • More office space needed • A community of local businesses, including local, eco-sustainable business community 	12, 67, 86, 89, 96, 127, 135, 147, 148, 159, 162, 163, 166, 171, 174, 177, 187, 190, 196, 206, 244, 259, 261, 267, 274, 289, 315, 323, 350, 370, 371, 379, 459, 489, 501, 502, 504, 508, 531, 543, 551, 555, 561, 564, 568, 570, 571

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good opportunities for employment for low-skilled workers • Space for manufacturing for small businesses • Support for business clusters • Jobs should be available to young people (by creating spaces where businesses that employ unskilled and semi-skilled). • Affordable rent for businesses • Space for artisan workshops 	
Small number of jobs needed. One commentator suggested 1,500	228, 562
<p>Better commercial facilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local shops • More retail generally • Grocery • Hardware • Pharmacies • Restaurants • Pub 	9, 12, 29, 50, 51, 53, 67, 73, 89, 93, 96, 127, 128, 135, 147, 148, 151, 162, 163, 166, 171, 174, 179, 187, 191, 196, 229, 238, 244, 261, 264, 267, 278, 279, 280, 289, 293, 296, 309, 315, 323, 325, 340, 343, 350, 364, 376, 401, 403, 405, 411, 425, 459, 463, 475, 489, 490, 493, 501, 504, 505, 510, 511, 526, 535, 541, 543, 545, 547, 551, 555, 561, 562, 565, 567, 571, 575, 590

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nightlife facilities • Cafes • Bakery • Post office • Takeaways • Food shops • Butchers • Petrol station • Pet shop • Art facilities • Independent shops, similar to the ones on Mill Road • Around offices need spaces for markets • One commentator suggested 5 pubs • One commentator suggested 5 community centres • One commentator asked for not having a big supermarket, but smaller shops • Hairdresser 	
<p>Include enough amenities so that people don't have to go into Cambridge</p>	<p>50, 89, 425, 459, 508, 490, 510, 511, 526, 544, 545, 571</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Diverse shops, not just one superstore/ local goods + food should be encouraged	56
Should be more of a focus on remote working with homes allowing space for it.	168, 266
An extension of the existing Trinity science Park /business park	170, 221, 259, 302
There should be light industrial / industrial sites.	293, 315, 459, 544, 570
<p>Less jobs are needed, comments include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Already close to science park, no more jobs are need. • No more jobs as need houses to outstrip number of jobs • Creating more jobs and thus needing to build even more new housing just pleases the developers, not your electors. The plan will fail as the climate crisis bites ever deeper. 	144, 173 191, 248, 331, 339, 526
keep the same jobs that are currently there	297
Any expansion of Cambridge Science Park, such as associated manufacturing rather than on land owned by Chivers Farm in the Green Belt east of Impington	302
Ideally residents would work locally	315
Needs better infrastructure to support new businesses	520

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Need cycling connections with surrounding more industrial job sites to further reduce the need for cars	544
New jobs should be non-polluting	583

Homes

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
More housing generally	16, 42, 86, 127, 162, 163, 166, 170, 177, 180, 187, 206, 221, 244, 251, 274, 293, 296, 299, 315, 319, 321, 331, 337, 423, 449, 498, 519, 531, 543, 544, 551, 555
Lots of apartments	237, 262, 544
Mix of housing types including small homes for those that want them	67, 76, 151, 159, 238, 274, 327, 340, 375, 540
Comments relating to affordable housing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More affordable housing needed • Housing should be socially inclusive, i.e., plumbers + tradespeople should be able to park their vans on the site. Don't just attract office-based workers 	29, 31, 36, 66, 73, 90, 109, 136, 144, 179, 187, 204, 216, 228, 229, 238, 241, 263, 267, 274, 293, 297, 311, 323, 327, 340, 348, 423, 437, 474, 491, 493, 498, 501, 502, 519, 545, 550, 575, 582

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3-bedroom homes, not large expensive ones • Social housing not just for locals and not just people with local connections • Not luxury flats • Not 'affordable housing', but housing which locals can afford • Should have a charter for local people encompassed in its charter • Council homes • Housing for local people • Make it majority affordable • Housing for staff at local business parks • Council should do more to ensure houses aren't empty 	
<p>Suggestions for housing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be big enough and properly adapted for families • Should be suitable for wheelchair users • Starter homes for young families • Homes big enough to work from home 	<p>42, 86, 127, 135, 203, 237, 253, 262, 344, 346, 347, 348, 349, 365, 418, 419, 492, 544, 565, 568, 590</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young families should have houses with substantial gardens • Share of freehold, not just leasehold • Flats with balconies + communal gardens • Housing for elderly • Sheltered housing • Co-housing developments • Should be built to highest standards with proper thought given to light and outside space • Housing shouldn't be cookie cutter, so allow lots of smaller developers the contracts 	
Houses should have access to the river	93
<p>Comments about it being low-rise, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low-rise housing with no flats • Low-rise housing should be targeted towards families • Low-density housing, not squeezed together as in St Matthews Garden / should have garden • High-density, but low-rise 	192, 203, 205, 296, 349, 311, 347, 407, 492, 503

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate outside space to accommodate the utilities, hidden washing line area and a small raised bed for growing food • Inside - a utility room, small study and plenty of storage space are necessary, especially with people working from home. 	
A few houses are needed/ less houses for population growth	175, 242, 566, 583
Nothing should be too tall, one commentator suggested capping storeys at 4 storeys	237, 562
Mid-rise housing, similar to Cambridge North	239, 510
Housing could also be quite dense with smaller houses & apartments.	565
Apartment buildings with mandated larger than normal apartments and with lots of green space through the streets. Terraced housing/detached housing should be prohibited.	544
Absolute maximum 3000 new homes	562
Homes should be 1-3 bedroom with a garden.	575
Homes should have garages so cars don't need to be parked on the street	233

Infrastructure

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Car comments, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• It should be a car-free development• Minimise car usage• Cars should be kept to a minimum.• Design of development should make running a car unnecessary• No parking, except for disabled people• Ban conversion of front garden to parking• Parking for residents should be on the Freiburg, Cayala in Guatemala, or Ypenburg models, outside the residential areas, in order to create sociable streets which are largely car free and provide space for people to socialise, play and enjoy the streets outside their homes in safety.• Design for low number of cars. Marmalade Lane is an excellent model to copy, for example. This allows more green space, and for it to be central and overlooked, not 'round the back'.	<p>6, 144, 200, 208, 218, 262, 263, 278, 291, 297, 401, 404, 425, 468, 490, 497, 503, 510, 511, 525, 526, 544, 545, 552, 571</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
All infrastructure should be completed before development of houses/ factories begins. This should include development of good roads.	260, 547, 560
<p>All facilities should contribute to the circular economy, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recycling centres • Spaces for repairing broken/ damaged goods + workshops 	6, 12, 262
<p>Transport comments, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It should be accessible for people from surrounding villages • Cycle paths / off-road cycle paths/ segregated shared-use paths + not just lines on road • New development needs to be accessible to the rest of the city • Need to link to nearby Milton Country Park • Park and Ride • Links between new town and city • Link to the rail network 	11, 12, 15, 16, 29, 50, 70, 76, 81, 93, 106, 112, 131, 136, 142, 144, 158, 163, 170, 171, 174, 179, 190, 195, 218, 228, 229, 233, 239, 248, 253, 260, 261, 262, 264, 267, 278, 280, 287, 291, 297, 306, 309, 327, 337, 340, 343, 349, 364, 367, 375, 379, 404, 407, 411, 416, 417, 419, 424, 425, 445, 466, 468, 490, 493, 497, 506, 508, 510, 526, 530, 534, 544, 545, 547, 546, 548, 549, 552, 555, 557, 571, 580, 582

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be able to access cycle lanes • Adequate bike parking, including for cargo bikes. Possibly bike sheds/ bike stands • The Science Park entrance in Cambridge is poorly designed causing traffic and promoting dangerous driving by a few drivers. • adequate public transport for residents to get to work without having to come into Cambridge causing more congestion • A tramway-style connection to the city centre of Cambridge • Drop off and pick-up parcel points • Take advantage of Cambridge North Station • Good bus links to the city for all people, not just commuters • Walking + cycling routes should join existing routes outside of development • Cut through between streets for pedestrians • Links to retail parks 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extended public transport hours for staff at Addenbrookes • Bus shelters • A new light railway or underground as buses are inadequate • New site needs multiple entrances and exits • Better and cheaper guided bus service • Have more trains to London • Protect and improve walking routes • Adhere to LTN 20/1 and all cycle routes are part of connected network • Children should feel safe travelling • Buses to station/ hospital should take the shortest route • New developments should site and finance new arterial roads where possible, not just place extra load on existing ones. 	
<p>Pro parking comments, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure there is enough parking. • Having no car parking is impractical for modern parking 	19, 29, 112, 120, 233, 261, 287, 351, 474, 580

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Houses should have multiple spaces • There should be a car park for visitors 	
Electric parking charging points for residents and visitors	29, 147, 179, 203, 233, 287, 340, 474, 548
Digital connectivity	29
<p>A new cycle over the river and railway line parallel to the motorway would allow residents to be able to use Fen Ditton and Horningsea services (e.g., Pub, Art Gallery). This would also allow people in Fen Ditton/ Horningsea to commute into the new district more easily, and access for example Milton Tesco and Milton country park by bike.</p>	121, 151, 282, 394
Reduce the need for cars, so a neighbourhood provides everything in walking/ cycling distance	143, 163, 376, 544, 548
First and foremost, there should be new drainage and sewage processing	260
<p>The Fen Road area beyond the railway crossing from Chesterton needs a new access from the A14 and the access from Chesterton should be closed. If this is done, perhaps access to Cambridge North station could be made from the East.</p>	282

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Limited parking, i.e., one per house	328
Need to ensure adequate infrastructure for new incoming residents as there is already too much pressure on infrastructure/ cannot just simply add more houses	367, 403
Support EWR	546
<p>Pro car comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please do not neglect the roads in order to deliberately make them congested and encourage people to use public transport. Many people e.g. elderly need to use cars and roads for medical purposes and many other purposes. • Do not punish cars/ van users as not everyone can cycle. Reducing road capacity that will not represent the ordinary voters of the area, or the viability of Cambridge as a commercial and retail centre. Creating a transport desert is in no ones' interest. • Even with public transport, people will still use cars + you will have to take account of commuting traffic created into Cambridge from towns 	306, 506, 560

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
The new infrastructure must not overload existing infrastructure e.g. water, drainage & sewage, gas and electricity and ongoing question of how water supply will be managed for extra houses	351, 468

Other comments

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Moving the sewage works has been assigned as a nationally significant important infrastructure project, so why isn't it mentioned in the Local Plan? This omission breaches the democratic process of 'public consultation'	26, 63
Assume this housing is for the Science Park etc so needs to have the appropriate green content since the residents will not be rich but deserving of a nice area. If you plan it to service elsewhere you need to replace the metro with something of equivalent concept and green. The new Mayor's vision of "compassion, cooperation and community" is meaningless.	33
Fair consultation did not take place	41

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
It's good you intend to overpopulate Cambridge so current residents can move out and get a good sale price.	59
Pre-defined survey answer, why no option to say we don't agree	117
I guess 'dense and lively wouldn't accommodate that. More things like the above somewhere else to offset this maybe?	166
Given demand for commuting into London will have fallen with the rise of working from home. Why would living in dense city district on the edge of the city be appealing on the edge of an industrial estate be appealing?	192
Hope it's sufficient with all the new citizens incoming	
How are the Gypsy Roma Traveller residents up Fen Road featuring in your Plan? I asked Town and had not got a reply	223
Arbury Road east is very dangerous and polluted - must be filtered or made one way as recommended in your own LCWIP	276
More special needs schools are needed. My daughter is currently unable to find a place and its severely affecting her mental health. Counsellors tell me there's a £39m deficit and	361

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
that apparently is it. Children have to reach crisis to get a place at a SEN school	
You should have mentioned moving the plant to the Green Belt. This omission, on your part, will mean that people responding in this survey will do so without fully understanding the implications of what they might be suggesting. This will give your survey a distorted and misleading view of public opinion	385, 496, 518, 594
Concerned/ dislike / unsure about the word dense	389, 423, 582
All the development on NEC should not be in this Local Plan as there is no guarantee it can happen	427, 428, 429, 433
Difficult to see how this project can be considered a nationally significant project as Anglian Water say there is no need to move	443
I can't see how anyone living there won't need a car. There would need to be schools, doctors' surgery, a really good bus service. Also, on-site job opportunities. Without this it will just be dormitory housing for people to commute from in cars.	488

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
A robust monitoring systems should be set up to ensure that the developers are living up to the plans approved and not constantly amend afterwards not always to the best of the intentions in the plan. The Darwin Green project in the North area is a bad example of the prolonging and amending plans + reducing provision of community facilities, and other amenities.	548
The best thing about this site is that it is close to A14 - is this a site for people who will be working in Cambridge?	597
Use brownfield sites	89
The Council should learn lessons from other new developments e.g., CB1 at the train station, Trumpington and Orchard Park which have been plagued by anti-social behaviour and crime. If occupants of council properties are involved in anti-social behaviour and crime then the council needs to protect the community and take some action rather than just ignoring the issue.	106
New "communities" may not be initially occupied by the same range of family structures as they will in 20 years' time. This	506

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
needs to be taken into account in the long-term plan for the area.	

Q5. We feel that we should support the development of the Cambridge Biomedical Campus (Addenbrookes) with space for more healthcare facilities, research, and housing. What housing, jobs, facilities, or open spaces should be created around the campus?

Opposition to development

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>There should be limits to the development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It should not sprawl out onto the green belt • There should be a readiness to set limits on the development • We cannot keep building over countryside • The Council must respect biodiversity 	<p>2, 4, 11, 95, 128, 223, 288, 289, 299, 303, 309, 330, 335, 363, 404, 408, 413, 419, 358, 349, 170, 335, 144, 299, 279, 445, 448, 450, 469, 476, 477, 495, 498, 512, 523, 529, 535, 538, 551, 561, 563, 572, 582</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No development due to concerns about: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainability • Biodiversity • Pollution 	22, 223, 258, 272, 290, 303, 335, 402, 354, 400, 134, 435, 471, 472, 598
No development due to concerns about: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flooding • Significant flooding already occurs in buildings in Addenbrookes + at Ninewells estate. • The expansion would have serious detrimental effects upon the chalkland ridge and Gog Magog Hills in relation to increasing the chances of flooding. There are also significant issues to do with pumping and sewage which already effect the Ninewells development. 	303, 435, 547, 598, 523, 543
No development due to concerns about: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water infrastructure • Impact on traffic • Strain existing infrastructure 	335, 378, 141, 431, 471, 485, 95, 100, 106, 203, 275, 419, 487, 515, 527, 555, 559, 588 249, 260, 289, 117, 87,
No development due to concerns about: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aesthetics 	5, 18, 19, 20, 27, 49, 57, 63, 84, 90, 96, 106, 123, 130, 175, 183, 211, 242, 256, 275, 290, 303, 304, 319, 331, 335, 374, ,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It will blur the Cambridge- Shelford rural-urban divide • Urban sprawl • Impact on green belt • There is enough development • Research facilities are currently underused on the Campus; therefore, expansion is not an appropriate use of land. • Due to innovations in digital communications, there is little need for research facilities to be next to each other. It would have made more sense to put the campus on the Papworth site as it would have a less negative impact. • No more new housing or other development until all infrastructure is in-place including roads, schools, GP's, etc • It will make communication more difficult between different Addenbrooke buildings. Clever planning could expand the site's current footprint without needing to expand into the green belt. 	<p>381, 388 , 393, 395, 398, 399, 415, 435, 436,, 457, 470, 472, 473, 488, 523, 526, , 527 545, 547, 555, 552, 559 561, 566, 573, 588, 589,</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disagree with the model of hospital expansion (e.g., Boston Medical District) • Amenity of residents 	
There should be no development that infringes on the Gog and Magog hills	68, 106, 289, 477
No development should go ahead, with no reasons given	138, 167, 173, 268, 273, 491
If development has to occur, it will have less environmental impact if it was to the south of Addenbrooke's Road or to west between Addenbrookes Road and the M11. Both could link to sustainable transport in better ways	523
The commentator questions the Council's ability to deliver the scheme	409
Instead of developing this land, other parts of Cambridge should be developed/ Is it appropriate to have such a dense concentration of healthcare services on one site?	106, 191, 236, 358, 340, 386, 399, 432, 450, 521, 523, 561, 565
Other parts of the country should be developed rather than Cambridge/ biomedical industry needs to be spread across a wider area	339, 479, 436, 311

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Proposals would significantly impact upon the amenity of residents at the edge of the city.	523, 545
BMC growth should be halted. Growth should be spread across city, i.e., north Cambridge site/ Milton Science Park	40, 70, 95

Deliverability

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Scale of development should be constantly reviewed to avoid impacting green belt land in this area / The new development needs to learn from the lessons of development from previous developments/ previous problems have not been addressed by development plans	42, 86, 407, 308, 340, 395, 308, 497, 572
<p>The hospital and its facilities should be prioritised for development. Specific changes include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large horticultural therapy should be created at Addenbrookes as a referral unit for people with stress and high blood pressure + staff • Care homes and recuperation facilities 	226, 308, 386, 507, 554, 514, 543

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Supportive of developing all the suggested uses	244, 245, 248, 353
If there is a real need to expand the campus, please extend the area to the south. The field at the north-east could then be improved. Hedgerows could be reinstated on Babraham Road and more trees beside the cycle path could instated. This would preserve Cambridge's view and maintain the city's 'soft edge'.	365
Before development can go ahead, the following improvements would have to be made, including: Road improvements	211

Climate Change

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
In relation to climate change and housing, changes should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well-insulated housing • Carbon net-zero housing/ New facilities should be built to the latest NHS net zero carbon standard 	66. 89, 93 109, 117, 148, 150, 151 179, 233, 239, 296, 324, 392, 474,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heat pumps • Built to passivhaus standard • No gas • Electric charging points • Mid-rise housing that makes good use of space and leaves public open space for parks. • New housing needs access to the river • Houses should be built on stilts due to flood risk • Ensuring rainwater is capture in houses and then recycled 	
Encourage community renewable energy projects	89
All surfaces should be permeable to facilitate drainage into an aquifer	564
Non-polluting activities should occur at the campus	583
Rain gardens by roads and walk-ways to assist the cleaning of surface run-off and drainage into an aquifer	564

Biodiversity and green spaces

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Green Spaces including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open spaces • Incorporate Ninewells Reserve as a park • Maintain the open space around the campus as much as possible • Wildlife sites • Reforesting • Protect Ninewells Reserve in a new development • Sites for patients to go out with visitors • Food growing opportunities should be provided, e.g., allotments, co-farming, community gardens. • Land for bio-diverse habitats • Trees/ hedges • Semi-natural areas • Facilities should be built to take advantage of the outside views, natural light and ventilation • Water features 	<p>3, 8,11, 12, 13, 17, 23, 42, 46, 67, 71, 75 79, 93, 101, 111, 120, 131, 148, 162, 187, 200, 206, 216, 230, , 231, 233, 238, 251, , 261, 262, 274, 282, 283, 288, 293, 294, 301, 304, 311, 317, 319, 343 , 356, 358, 362, 365, 371, 373, , 376, 383, 387, 389, 397, 398, 400, 401, 403, 405, 406, 407, 415, 417, 418, 423, 449, 463, 471, 477, 483, 484, 489, 492, 502, , 506, 518, 523, 527, , 528, 543, 545 547, 550, 567, 586, 582, 583, 592</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Skateboarding facilities/ skatepark that is well-lit/ indoor skating facilities	67, 413, 422, 502
Cemetery	12
Green spaces in between houses, not just on the edge of housing developments / Planting close to buildings to help regulate building's heating loss and gain	179, 148
Avoid building on low-lying flood-prone areas and instead keep them as recreational areas	410
Informal camping which the Traveller community could use	12
Ninewells, Great Kneighton, Cherry Hinton Chalk Pits should be joined up with Wandelbury and the Beech Woods to make a proper wildlife corridor	401
Woodland	262, 343, 498
New or more parkland/ parkland should be easily accessible by community + children	74, 75, 79, 262, 278, 306, 318, 321, 323, 362, 375, 379, 425, 466, 470, 490, 498, 511, 525
Outdoor facilities such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A running track • Tennis courts • A football pitch 	8, 12, 50, 54, 67, 71, 73, 79, 80, 86, 93, 111, 128, 135, 148, 238, 233, 264, 267, 314, 323, 325, 371, 375, 411, 426, 449, 518, 525, 582, 586, 348, 545, 375, 505, 535, 582, 586

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outdoor gyms • Outdoor Splash pools • Playgrounds • Community gardens • swimming pool 	

Wellbeing and social inclusion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Changes to encourage wellbeing on the site, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Farm animals (for patients and their families) should be provided for therapeutic visits 	506
Whole development should revolve around maintaining good health and a healthy sustainable environment for all/ should link with aims of GCPS/ the development should link with the aims of 15-minute neighbourhoods and have a mix of uses that are easily accessible	96, 278, 407, 425, 490, 497, 511, 544, 571, 572, 578, 545
Healthcare facilities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GP surgeries 	12, 128, 179, 190, 212, 216, 261, 274, 324, 325, 343, 396, 466, 505, 518, 586, 545

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dental practice 	
There needs to be more health facilities and beds provided, nothing else.	368
Space for alternative therapy	506
Community facilities such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Libraries Allotments Toilets Community centre Cultural and social places to give the area an atmosphere 	8, 12, 50, 67, 71, 73, 79, 80, 86, 93, 135, 148, 238, 264, 267, 314, 323, 325, 371, 375, 411, 426, 449, 518, 525, 582, 586, 233, 348, 545
Meeting places for adults	67
Communal hubs	317, 324, 233
Youth clubs	12
Childcare facilities including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A special needs school School Nursery 	31, 50, 67, 76, 79, 146, 156, 179, 190, 194, 216, 261, 274, 280, 323, 360, 426, 505, 523, 580, 545, 550
Swimming pool	213, 371, 545

Great Places

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Recreational areas are needed for staff to socialise	334
Needs more buildings on a walkable / human scale with a diversity of users as currently too many massive single purpose buildings.	108, 537
Public square/ public spaces	239, 410
Changing art space- a 4 th plinth style system which residents can vote to change every 2 – 5 years	502
Space for local craft and farmer's markets	12
Public benches and picnic tables	12, 239

Jobs

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
More general research facilities (i.e. not only healthcare)	6, 11, 66, 162, 174, 190, 194, 323, 426, 437, 477
More of a focus should be placed on remote working	168
Pub	58, 93, 179, 279, 470
Dining / cafes	46, 93, 148, 238, 296, 309, 398, 401, 470, 522, 529, 537
Co-working spaces	564
Training site for different jobs	127
Education sites for qualifications for different jobs	127
An alternative idea could be making a medical school on the site.	113
More healthcare research facilities / Biomedical facilities	6, 39, 174, 301, 389, 396, 482, 503
No more research facilities	315
Comments about CBC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CBC lacks hospitality facilities for people it employs. Having a network of facilities including restaurants, cafes and supermarkets will support current users. Currently after 20:00 only 1 café is open in CUH's concourse. 	229, 343, 506

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More consideration needs to be paid to the shift patterns of staff 	
Small shops (not a big supermarket)/ local shops/ independent	58, 93, 179, 264, 267, 278, 309, 411, 456, 470, 567
Post office	93, 156, 261, 398
Spaces for recycling and repairing damaged goods	12
Focus on health care provision, not houses	232
Small business spaces/ support for business clusters	12, 148, 261, 274, 379, 502
Jobs for local people that are not medicine-related	25
High quality jobs/ high-tech jobs, research jobs	52, 127, 192, 323, 456
More jobs generally	159, 162, 206, 274, 571, 592
Secure jobs that are not on zero-hour contracts and which provide living wage	327
Hi-tech facilities	120
Shops	46, 50, 127, 146, 190, 194, 238, 279, 280, 296, 315, 324, 375, 376, 450, 466, 505, 522, 537, 564, 567, 572, 586, 545

Homes

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No more housing/ minimal new housing	4, 6, 39, 64, 75, 80, 135, 289, 293, 294 301, 311, 360, 437, 503, 523, 559, 563, 566, 583 592
Houseowners should be banned from converting front gardens to parking spaces to reduce cars	208
Housing shouldn't be too dense	101, 597
<p>Provision of homes is critical. Should provide a mix of housing that is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing for younger people who might want to get involved in medicine or teaching • Affordable housing for people with low-income levels, key workers, local people, lower paid healthcare workers, NHS staff, families, researchers, care workers, local people • More affordable housing is needed in this part of the city • Mix of social and private housing • Smaller developments for smaller developers • Flats with different numbers of bedrooms • More housing for the elderly/ people visiting the elderly 	7, 8, 11, 12, 16, 24, 29, 42, 50, 56, 58, 59, 62, 72, 76, 81 86, 89, 90, 101, 109, 112, 115, 119, 127, 136, 150, 155, 162, 179, 187, 197, 205, 216, 219, 228, 238, 247, 251, 253, 263, 264, 267, 274, 279, 282, 283, 291, 296, 297, 299, 315, 324 327, 340, 344, 345, 348, 351, , 362, 368, 370, 382, 401, 407, 410, 417, 420, 432, 442, 449, 461, 474, 492 493, 495, 502, 504, 506, 511, 519, 520, 523, , 531, 534, 539, 540, 543, 545, 550, 567, 569, 574, 575, 577

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing for those who have family-members that are staying in hospital for a long-time / Respite facilities/ a hotel for family members • Suitable for wheelchair users • Housing for first-time buyers • Have a garden • Co – housing schemes • Luxury housing shouldn't be built • Council housing • Avoid segregation • Larger family homes, not high-rise flats • Should be an appropriate mix of housing and places for people to work to encourage and work in the same vicinity rather than commuting from outside of the area • Small 1 room flats or studios, possibly with shared gardens • Is there no aim to create a new community like Cambourne or Northstowe? / Build a copy of Cambridge North here 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Densify the Addenbrookes site in-between buildings already there	147, 190, 287, 296, 483
Acceptable to increase housing density/ reduce garden size to increase provided public green space	544
Houses need garages so cars aren't parking on-street	233
A trust could be set-up to ensure that properties remain in-use for low-income biomedical staff and NHS staff	495
A lot of housing in Trumpington has been bought by buy-to-rent investors and this should be stopped	194, 368
Student accommodation	253, 323
Need for housing, but it would encroach onto the environment. The Food, Farming and Countryside Commission is currently developing a land-use framework and doing a pilot project in Cambridgeshire. This research should be consulted before irrevocable decisions are made	363
High quality housing is needed as the stock in the area is already looking a bit care worn	284
Due to the way housing sales currently work, whilst some housing would be available to key workers, the majority would	523

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
still not be affordable. It is therefore hard to justify this expansion on the grounds it would increase the stock of affordable housing	
New housing should be near the site to minimise the need for private transport	367

Infrastructure

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Addition of research hubs could create S106 funds which could be used to fund staff facilities.	117
These facilities need cash to be built.	295
Create a mini-Science Park	293
<p>Better transport links, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good transport links to other research centres at Babraham and the Genome Campus • A tramway-style connection to the rest of Cambridge • Cheaper transport 	89, 109, 101, 142, 179, 190, 306, 340, 362, 375, 404, 416, 420, 456, 483, 506, 508, 523, 530, 546, 571, 580, 586, 592, 594

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better transport links generally • Community transport between accommodation and medical facilities 	
Houseowners should be banned from converting front gardens to parking spaces to reduce cars	208
East – West Rail should enter Cambridge via Northstowe to take traffic off the road and assist the Addenbrookes site by enabling more people to use the train	328
<p>Cycle improvements, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycle paths • Making it safer for cyclists • Sustainable transport • Cycling paths should be built in-line with LTN 1/20 • Cycle storage • Segregated cycle paths • Multi-user cycle paths • Should emulate Dutch infrastructure • Enable children to travel 	12, 16, 45, 50, 67, 76, 87, 93, 101, 121, 142, 156, 233, 239, 264, 280, 282, 293, 297, 306, 311, 367, 379, 425, 468, 490, 497, 505, 511, 523, 545, 552, 564, 569, 571, 572, 577, 586

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Safer for pedestrians/ walking routes/ running routes	45, 263, 280, 297, 367, 379, 142, 445
Additional road capacity/ new arterial roads which should be financed by the development/ wider roads	306, 261, 475, 559
Minimise the need to travel into the town centre	410
Parking improvements, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disable parking • Parking for incoming residents • Parking for staff • More parking generally • Parking for residents • Parking modelled on the Freiburg or Ypenburg models 	24, 51, 158, 180, 233, 261, 353, 416, 417, 424, 426, 474, 506, 549, 478, 511, 545
The Campus has failed to supply the active travel aspects it promised in its previous 'vision plan'. It has appalling cycle provision where cyclists have died. These things need to be held to account before further expansion.	526
A public transport hub	131
Better transport links generally/ consideration of transport impact	15, 51, 58, 62, 109, 143, 180, 264, 267, 291, 293, 301, 364, 416, 417, 468, 511, 525, 567

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Multiple entrances and exits to the site	261
Car facilities should be kept to a minimum	109, 47, 477, 483, 508, 544, 571, 572, 208
More attention needs to be paid to the transportation of hospital staff to the site	291, 87, 117, 289, 291
A railway station	99, 156, 177, 265, 283, 301, 373, 411, 546, 564

Other Comments

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
It is a leading question	382
Where is your analysis of the radical changes to work-life patterns/ travel needs from the Covid-19 pandemic?	395
Natural elements are currently lacking in the CBC	408
CBC has brought anti-social behaviour into nearby communities. Parking on drives, littering and did not socially distance during Lockdowns	559
Affordable housing is a 'joke', you need a large mortgage to get a house near Addenbrookes	171

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
It is a high-quality asset in Cambridge and therefore needs to be planned and developed well, not saturated with housing	286
It currently feels soulless/ architecture his horrible/ area lacks amenities which means residents have to drive	337, 598
Uncertain whether the campus is a housing development/ industrial site or university campus	424
It appears that you have already planned new development. The Council needs to 'come clean' about it.	202
National chains should be banned from owning shops or property in the area	71, 73
Addenbrookes should be treated like a small town/ neighbourhood with appropriate facilities	343, 350, 525
Fundamental aim of the Local Plan should be aiming to design for children	545
A mixture (undefined) of things are needed	53
Any new development needs to be definitive and balanced	595
Less unimaginative development	63

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Just because developers are building affordable housing, it doesn't mean that they should be able to get out of paying penalties if they don't deliver	500
Better food is needed for people who are visiting the hospital	135
Only ethical medical companies should be allowed to move to the campus	187
CBC should be leading the environmental, social and governance efforts of Cambridgeshire.	486
Has anyone done a survey of where campus staff live? Where would they ideally like to live to inform questions on housing + transport links?	373
Any new development needs a proper centre/ centre needed that is not based solely on a supermarket.	376. 233
Impact of this development will be less because it is near a lot of jobs.	353
Fully self-contained site where travel is kept to a minimum	163

Q6. We think East-West Rail provides the opportunity for Cambourne to grow up into a proper town. What housing, jobs, facilities or open spaces do you think should be developed in and around Cambourne?

Opposition to development

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Oppose with no reason given	5, 10, 17, 30, 57, 62, 120, 210, 241, 328, 457, 492, 575
Oppose EWR because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It will ruin villages. • Not needed. • It will strain infrastructure • Misguided aim, instead 'coast to coast' provision would be beneficial. • Proposal to use diesel trains is wrong • Cambourne could become a ghetto • Removes access between Hauxton & Little Shelford which is harmful • It will never get its money back • No need for freight 	7, 18, 33, 40, 41, 49, 50, 95, 109, 126, 170, 183, 189, 203, 205, 244, 256, 283, 289, 328, 339, 354, 359, 368, 378, 382, 409, 498

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Money should be used to 'level-up' the North • Was conceived pre-Covid and should be reassessed • Should go for a light rail network • EWR should connect northern populations providing commuting • Biodiversity loss • No business case is available • potential for those living in N/W band of Cambridgeshire • Rail travel only affordable for those on high incomes • EWR is a vanity project • EWR not needed as less people commuting due to remote working practices • Road access is already good to Cambridge • Stop at Cambourne would lead to unacceptable environmental degradation • It is more important for the railway to join up the Biomedical campus with Oxford and Cambridge 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Oppose development because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasing its size will increase crime • Needs more farming land • It will create problems in the rural parts of the area • Council won't deliver it • Satisfies developer's needs, not the community's • EWR Station would split Cambourne into two • No as it will lead to people to working from home and community to Cambridge • Will impact water supply of this area which is a key area for farming • Area is already over-developed • Object to the urbanisation of West Cambridge • No brownfield sites, but against the use of greenfield sites • Cambourne is already over-developed • Isn't it large enough? • Cambourne should remain isolated and become self-sufficient 	<p>7, 11, 26, 70, 71, 95, 141, 175, 183, 204, 242, 285, 304, 356, 359, 382, 386, 387, 403, 409, 415, 422, 425, 460, 485, 487, 495, 506, 591</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infilling undermines the point of Cambourne which was to be villages with plenty of green space/ Expansion would betray the original vision for Cambourne • Cambourne should grow organically • Concerned about 'strip development' along the East-West rail line. • Spatial strategy is incorrect, instead small development should be allowed in small settlements to allow for natural evolution of communities • EWR is a good idea, but the development proposed a long it will harm nature, the quality of village life and create an unsustainable water supply 	

Deliverability

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Support for scheme, but prefer EWR to follow the Northern route to Northstowe/ northern route is preferrable	68, 69, 95, 117, 144, 147, 247, 263, 289, 358, 399, 546, 582

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Decision needs to clarify whether the railway goes between Cambourne and Cambridge	211
If EWR has to go ahead, make it electric, not diesel	19, 561
Support the scheme	76, 247, 263, 251, 287, 291, 293, 301, 317, 353, 365, 482, 424, 500, 503, 563, 587, 588, 590
Should support EWR and run south, not go north, to support the Biomedical Campus/ this route is cheaper, faster and more logical	191, 222, 561
All new development should focus on the need to build 15-minute neighbourhoods to reduce the need to travel/ aim to reduce carbon consumption	278, 425, 490, 497, 545, 571, 572
If the rail goes ahead, then it should travel along the guided bus route, via Northstowe	368
<p>Concerns about EWR including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EWR will deliver more jobs however, it will split the town into two • Commuter towns are old-style thinking • Need to research whether EWR is needed now more people are working from home 	218, 275, 474, 520

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway stations must be properly incorporated into the town 	
<p>Comments advising the Council, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Council should learn from the example of Thetford to understand how a 'proper town' works, as this has kept much employment in the town, and much of the original green space has been kept and schools are still functioning Cambourne needs an envelope to constrain the development Should be a clear natural border between Cambourne 'town' and surrounding villages Villages around Cambourne should be developed to provide destinations for new residents, including retail, craftwork and heritage industry. Need to ensure surrounding villages do not become mono-cultural. Cambourne is very 'samey'. It needs more diversity of shops and facilities 	<p>9, 24, 181 436, 506, 597</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cambourne should become a 'model' town for the 21st century 	
Council should let the free-market determine what is needed	268
Development needs to be conducted at a high density, not urban sprawl	190
Science parks should be set up around Cambridge to ease the pressure off Cambridge	138
A mixture of things is needed (undefined what these things are)	53, 196
No objections if it is well-thought out	578
If there must be more development, then facilities and infrastructure must be in-place before it is implemented	39, 73, 131, 260, 339, 360, 392, 395, 560

Climate Change

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>In relation to sustainability, housing should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solar panels • Heat source pumps 	29, 39, 69, 109, 158, 179, 248, 296, 497

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insulation • EV charging points • Centralised water storage • Should reach an environmental standard as high as Eddington • Ventilation systems • Air tightness 	

Biodiversity and green spaces

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Open spaces + Green spaces, including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trees and wildflowers • Meadows with long grass • Ponds • Insect hotels • Bee- friendly areas • Wildlife corridors 	<p>8, 12, 78, 86, 128, 136, 143, 166, 200, 206, 231, 238, 251, 261, 264, 274, 293, 296, 311, 315, 340, 349, 363, 366, 371, 376, 403, 404, 466, 473, 483, 493, 498, 508, 518, 527, 538, 543, 545, 571, 572, 583</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wildlife buffer zones 	
Food- growing / native trees and shrubs should be incorporated throughout the area, including parks, street-verges, parks	363, 400, 545
There should not be a reduction in existing open space/ don't destroy natural areas	393, 406, 433
Streets with meadow grassland	527
Reduce the need for parking to free up green space	425, 490
Mixture of things (undefined) is needed	294
Additional community gardens, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'co-farms' allotments dedicated for peri-urban agriculture to increase the provision of local food Community kitchens and food sharing, i.e., community fridges Allotments 	262, 271, 349, 363, 371, 400, 502, 508, 527, 545
The pre-existing nature reserve is a great facility and needs encouragement for local people to get involved	39, 282
An area that was earmarked as a golf-course should be turned into a parkland	68

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
More woodlands + trees/ Ancient woodlands and farmlands of Cambourne should be preserved	74, 261, 401
Reforestation/ reforestation of wastewater treatment	12
Spaces for informal outdoor camping	12
Green spaces in-between housing, not just on the edge of a housing development	179, 543
Car- free areas	401
Spaces for the Traveller community to use as a transit stop	12
Parks, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trees • Lakes • Country Park 	23, 158, 278, 311, 375, 411, 466, 535, 538, 571, 572
Parks should link up with walking and cycling routes and neighbourhoods surrounding them/ should be easily accessible by children	56, 425, 490, 571, 572
Parks should be situated within built-up areas, overlooked by houses and shops, designed in a welcoming way to ensure they are safe	425, 490, 571, 572

Wellbeing and social inclusion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Healthcare facilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GP surgery • Dentist • Respite care • Opticians • Pharmacy • Community hospital • Public toilets 	7, 8, 12, 13, 29, 34, 39, 46, 48, 51, 58, 73, 96, 128, 179, 261, 274, 296, 351, 367, 395, 425, 466, 483, 490, 493, 518, 537, 538, 545, 549, 555, 565, 571, 572
No swimming pool	48
More facilities (not defined)	162
Needs a lot of support for community cohesion	190
A mixture of services is needed to make Cambourne a self-contained community/ new development in all locations needs to be definitive and balanced	350, 595
Recreation areas for children and adults	29, 128
Skatepark/ rolling skating facilities	422, 502, 579

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Hang-out spaces for young people/ youth clubs + more things for young people to do	12, 553
Community facilities including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community spaces (undefined) • Community centres • Playgrounds • Libraries • Outdoor and indoor recreation areas • Swimming pool 	7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 51, 52, 68, 96, 101, 128, 238, 248, 280, 348, 375, 416, 425, 432, 438, 466, 490, 498, 500, 508, 518, 545, 551, 565, 571, 572
Spaces for recycling and repair of broken goods	12
Childcare facilities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schools, • Nurseries • Special needs school 	9, 13, 29, 34, 51, 73, 128, 179, 248, 261, 274, 280, 296, 331, 350, 361, 367, 375, 395, 396, 416, 477, 483, 493, 508, 518, 535, 538, 545, 549, 571, 572
All community buildings should offer space for cooking, eating and learning about food	363
Public benches + Picnic spaces	12

Great Places

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
It needs better public architecture	282
Proper town centre is needed with improvements to the public realm is needed	39, 68, 108, 181, 190, 376, 521
Cambourne had been poorly planned. What is needed is a thoughtful plan, providing on-site work, social and cultural facilities and creating a community and identity for the area/ the area needs investment as business' do not survive there/ it is lacking a heart	171, 190, 233, 238, 284, 286, 299, 360, 432, 468, 489
More interesting things to do in town	101, 553
The area should be safe for children to exercise and play	419
Changing art space in style of 4 th plinth system that allows residents to choose artwork every 2-5 years	502

Jobs

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
More jobs, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More jobs generally • IT Companies • Healthcare jobs • Locally based jobs • Conservation jobs • Teaching, science and more creative jobs for a diverse workforce 	46, 206, 251, 274, 282, 315,330, 340, 350, 397, 425, 490, 508, 545, 555, 561, 571, 572
Supermarket	47, 179
Entertainment facilities including arts centre, concert/performance centre, cinemas, and theatre	48, 51, 68, 293, 456, 555, 597
Shops, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decent neighbourhood shops • Food shops • Hardware shop 	9, 29, 34, 47, 51, 56, 58, 73, 78, 96, 128, 146, 159, 238, 261, 264, 280, 286, 311, 362, 367, 379, 396, 411, 425, 436, 466, 483, 490, 493, 500, 508, 535, 565, 571, 572
An outdoor market should be considered	12, 112, 148, 171

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
There are no jobs in Cambourne at the moment unless you want a minimum wage retail job	171
Post Office	9, 31, 68, 261
Pub	7, 51, 179, 280, 416, 500
Leisure facilities, such as a cinema and galleries	78, 128, 158, 286, 311, 367, 537, 538, 571, 572
Restaurants/ Cafes'	51, 101, 128, 179, 238, 280, 282, 296, 500, 571, 572, 597
Balanced mix of housing, jobs, community facilities and open space as appropriate to a normal town/ increase commercial activities so fewer people commute to Central Cambridge	174, 565, 572
Business space improvement, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable rent for small businesses / • low rent units small to medium for retail and light commercial • Offices/ Office space for smaller businesses/ start-ups • Support for business clusters/ a significant business district 	64, 80, 96, 179, 171, 315, 12, 179, 261, 274, 280, 376, 444, 489, 461, 502, 545
Good location for jobs if EWR goes ahead	505
Question whether more jobs a necessary corollary of the East-West Rail link	4

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Existing business park is underutilised, there is enough office space	39, 64
More local companies and jobs not just large corporations. These should create a community feel/ jobs should be related to the social and community needs of the area	148, 543
Could Cambridgeshire County Council move there?	297
Non-polluting activities (research etc.)	583
A new science/ innovation park	406, 479
Putting jobs in Cambourne without houses would be good because it would rebalance work vs. accommodation and enable less travel between Cambourne and Cambridge	134, 540
Industrial estates/ Business parks	158, 315, 500
Some industry (undefined what it is)	362
i-business parks and drop offs/ parcel points	158

Homes

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Building more houses without facilities only benefits the developer	68
Lower density of housing is needed	187
Less housing is needed	7, 58
Moderate amount of housing is needed	228, 492
A limited amount of housing is needed	388, 566, 583
No more housing is needed	64, 65, 74, 285, 403, 438
<p>Housing is essential, it should deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A mix of social/ affordable and private housing • Co-housing scheme • More affordable housing generally • Affordable three-bedroom houses • Not as expensive as Eddington • Maybe a housing association is needed • Council housing • Housing for key workers • Less emphasis on executive housing 	8, 29, 86, 109, 136, 179, 274, 238, 251, 262, 263, 274, 296, 340 344, 346, 349, 401, 416, 419, 477, 500, 527, 545, 554, 568,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suitable for wheelchair users • Have proper gardens • Accommodation for public health workers • Sheltered accommodation for elderly people • Houses should have toilets downstairs for elderly people • Suitable for working from home 	
<p>A mix of housing sizes, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1–5-bedroom houses • flats to cater for smaller family units, people who haven't settled properly + those who are getting on property ladder 	238, 461, 568
Don't allow properties to be sold overseas	554
Housing needs to be less squeezed together	296, 360, 349, 543
Properties should have at least 2 parking spaces	554
High density housing is needed around the railway station	66
Gardens are too small and become waterlogged	360
More housing is needed/ better to build here than in villages	15, 31, 237, 317, 350, 362, 375, 425, 490, 538, 555, 572
Cambourne is very 'samey'. It needs more diversity of houses	436

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Houses that look like houses, not 'shoe boxes and no wooden siding, especially black wooden sliding	528
Housing should be high-density, but low-height- level if no provision of cars	527
No provision of parking spaces for houses and future conversion of front gardens to parking space to be banned	208
Quality of housing is poor in Cambourne and only people that benefit are developers	290
Housing only with no more jobs.	331

Infrastructure

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Transport needs to be considered as it is very difficult to travel already	216
There needs to be a decision about whether EWR is a commuter link or link to the biomedical park. It cannot be both	344, 365
Guided bus plan is flawed in relation to cost per user	263

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
A guided busway between Cambourne and Cambridge is needed as we have the infrastructure that embraces this transport model and electric buses	283, 256
The town must be self-sufficient in terms of community services to avoid excessive travel	96, 163
Traffic is increasing and original concept of 3 connected villages has been thrown out of the window/ Cambourne has now become a town and is now soulless	65, 370
<p>Cycling, suggestions include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A route that doesn't involve the old A428 • Cycle paths • Cycle routes to the station • Safe cycle route into Cambridge • Build them to Dutch standards • Cycle paths are good and should be extended • Safe routes for children • Easier to travel within Cambourne • The routes need to be well-lit • Links to existing villages 	6, 12, 15, 71, 121, 142, 155, 264, 282, 293, 311, 394, 425, 490, 527, 544, 545, 552, 566, 571, 572

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All local services should link with cycle Infrastructure, designed and built in-line with Local Transport Notes 1/20 • Make it safer for Cambourne residents to commute to surrounding areas • Segregated cycle lanes 	
Road layout needs to change because the amount of parking on roads is dreadful	233
Need more entrances and exits the roads	261
Reducing car travel does reduce emissions, but most of our carbon emissions come from heating our homes.	153, 376
Upgrade of A428 will provide transport links necessary for Cambourne	170
More services in Cambourne to reduce the need to travel	34
Zero carbon transport	158
Lack of jobs in Cambourne means cars must drive into Cambridge as public transport is poor	48
Most important thing is easing the pressure off pressure from the city centre of Cambridge	136

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Reduce the need for cars so neighbourhoods can provide within walking and cycling distances/ minimum amount of space should be given to cars	143, 497, 571, 572
Cheaper parking	416
More entrance points into the town	47
A new railway station should be located south of the A428 and not North. Why not use the siting of the old Varsity Railway Line?	42
Assume everyone will own two cars and plan on that basis	7
Metro and a sub-station into the town centre/ new light-rail/ tram	64, 260, 264, 530, 566
EWR should be situated within the centre of Cambourne to encourage sustainable travel	9
Better transport links more generally and road infrastructure	280, 466, 493, 508
A transport hub	411, 555
Better public transport, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Faster buses • Cheaper buses • Good links to Cambridge 	15, 179, 248, 262, 264, 75, 142, 349, 375, 404, 416, 422, 438, 456, 466, 474, 497, 508, 527, 530, 534, 544, 545, 551, 552, 554, 566, 571, 572

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cheaper train fares • Free transport is needed into the city • Active travel networks • Train to Cambridge • Reliable buses • Tram link into Cambridge • Better links with surrounding villages • Easier to travel within Cambourne • Make it safer for Cambourne residents to commute to surrounding areas 	
Car parking	261
The guided bus route is already subscribed and buses on the busway are full by the time the buses reach Northstowe, so how do residents from Northstowe get into Cambridge	113
Wide roads allowing for easy movement of vans and trailers/ roads need to be improved to alleviate traffic/ don't just focus on public transport	261, 395, 419, 560
Make sure buses or trains stick to their timetables and don't leave their stops early	392

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Cycle Storage	425, 490, 545, 571, 572
Improvements to walking routes, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking routes to the station • Access to greenery • Pedestrianised to encourage markets and events • Safer to walk within Cambourne • Make it safer for Cambourne residents to commute to surrounding areas 	15, 101, 142, 264, 286, 401, 425, 490, 497, 571, 572
A private school is needed because a lot of Cambourne residents use the money saved in a cheaper area to send their children to private school in Cambridge, which places strain on the traffic of the area	553

Other comments

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Question whether this rail line will be diesel?	81

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Commenter notes West Cambourne, and South Cambs Council are placing strain on Cambourne as it is	73
Something to stop the wind which is bad in Cambourne	67
Why does development have to rely on EWR	42
EWR seem to be given a free choice how to direct development.	181
Cambourne needs to be a lively, interesting town to retain young workers which they can commute to Cambridge	52
Opportunity for planning a town centre has gone	285
Residents of Cambourne should have the major say	4, 135, 418, 468
EWR was never premised on being a commuter solution for South Cambs residents. If EWR comes north, the expansion of Cambourne will effectively create a new town and have a detrimental environmental and social impact on the area from increased vehicle traffic/ human footfall and loss of green fields, which is surely contrary to govt. policy?	474
Proportionate development based on 'need not greed'	445
EWR route needs to be settled upon	113
It appears it has already been decided	389

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
This is a pre-defined answer question, why no option to say we do or don't agree to development at Cambourne?	117
New developments should model themselves on the car-free development Vauban in Freiburg, Germany, or Cayala in Guatemala	545
Isn't Cambourne already a 'proper town'	113
What is a 'proper town'	20, 42, 51, 168
It already is a proper town. Increase in size should be accompanied with increase in services/ appropriate increase in-line with increase in jobs	202, 351, 353, 367, 493
Cambourne shows how unaccountable developers are, poor town centre with little infrastructure and poor public realm. It would be heartening to see any evidence that developers can be held accountable to providing better infrastructure.	171
There was no planning to support the community in Cambourne. New developments, such as Northstowe do not seem to be learning from these lessons	168, 171
Cambourne should be designed for children, and this should be the central aim of Local Plan's	545

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Cambourne has room to grow, but not at the expense of major development happening north of the A428	42
It is a leading question	382

Q7. We think that the ‘southern rural cluster’ of villages near the rail line and the business parks south of Cambridge, could see some limited development. What housing, jobs, facilities, or open spaces do you think this area should provide?

Opinion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Oppose with no reason given	30, 41, 51, 57, 64, 115, 185. 203, 332, 457, 491, 508
Oppose, with following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development should not sprawl onto the Greenbelt • Issues of water • Issues of biodiversity 	2, 4, 5, 7, 11, 18, 20, 27, 34, 50, 62, 69, 70, 71, 72, 75, 81, 83, 90, 95, 98, 101, 106, 107, 113, 117, 118, 126, 128, 131, 134, 135, 138, 141, 144, 147, 154, 165, 170, 171, 183, 187, 189, 196, 202, 224, 226, 236, 242, 267, 268, 273, 275, 283, 289, 290, 291, 295, 298, 304, 311, 319, 324, 329, 335, 339, 353,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issues of sustainability/ carbon emissions from construction • Area is overcrowded • Issue of aesthetics • Even with stations it is likely that many people will drive because parents in new developments will need to drop their children at school. • Infrastructure is already strained • Using wrong land, i.e., land high in biodiversity rather than agricultural land that ranks lower in biodiversity value. • Countryside/ agricultural land needed to grow food • Council seems to have already planned a lot of houses • EWR is actually a freight train, which will not serve the community • EWR is a vanity project with limited benefits • Concerned about Ninewells Natural Spring • Undermines levelling up agenda • Against due to road pressures 	<p>359, 368, 370, 382, 387, 388, 392, 393, 403, 407, 418, 419, 431, 436, 439, 442, 456, 458, 460, 461, 473, 475, 479, 480, 482, 485, 486, 489, 494, 498, 504, 533, 538, 540, 544, 546, 549, 553, 555, 563, 587, 590, 597</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Worried about additional traffic impact upon Stapleford/ Shelford • Lots of houses have been built in the area outside of the Local Plan • Development should be limited to infill with development envelope • Worries about additional impact upon traffic in Foxton • Should Cambridge attract more jobs if it will worsen the north/ south divide? • Focus development on city and surrounding area. • Pressure on local schools/ GPs is likely to increase • Let the development at Foxton 'settle' in and see what impact they have before planning other changes. • Permission for A10/M11 is already far in excess of what the area can cope with • No need for the development • It won't stop in South Cambs, therefore it provides harm with no benefits 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing should be built near the villages if the trains are diesel • Rail link is not needed or wanted • Additional strain will be placed on local schools • Highfields Caldecote as received far more than its share of development. People live in rural areas because they want to live in a rural landscape and there should therefore be no housing. • Plans will lead to the blurring of Shelford and Stapleford • There is too much development in the north and west of Cambridge. There should be one large development in the south of the city, this would address overreliance of cars in South Cambridgeshire • There is too much development in Cambridge, the spatial strategy should include building on the villages • Council should put housing further out than Shelford because it is too easy to drive into Cambridge from Shelford which undermines the point encouraging train use 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Democratic deficit in process and evidence base 	
No preference	397
Support	16, 96, 204, 205, 206, 229, 237, 244, 251, 261, 264, 280, 284, 286, 296, 318, 321, 323, 332, 340, 344, 346, 348, 356, 371, 375, 394, 417, 420, 444, 463, 474, 483, 492, 495, 496, 514, 518, 527, 534, 551, 564, 577, 582, 592
<p>Support, but caveats include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There should be more development than a 'limited' amount to ensure there are affordable and not luxury housing Support depends on exactly where the locations are All development should focus on '15-minute neighbourhoods', so that all can live, work within a short walk or cycle ride. Therefore, there should be a mix of uses in any development. Remote working should be encouraged, everyone will be working, and development should reflect this The Shelford's should be kept separate from Cambridge 	36, 89, 168, 175, 191, 299, 301, 315, 330, 350, 401, 410, 425, 468, 487, 490, 497, 545, 554, 560, 571, 572, 583

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development should only occur if it has good transport links which will not increase dependencies on cars • Need to protect open spaces • Enough development to support existing the facilities of existing villages including the church, the Post Office, and a shop to ensure they do not close down • Need to increase hospital capacity before development • Support but prioritise brownfield sites • Support but growth should be limited to Campus 	
<p>Mixed/ Neutral comments including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The transport, education, leisure, and healthcare, shopping infrastructure has to be improved before anything else happens • Should be limited to near railway • Development should be limited in scope and only take place on brownfield sites • Settlements should not merge with each other • Some development, but not a developer's playground 	<p>10, 26, 40, 79, 101, 109, 139, 187, 190, 194, 213, 216, 225, 233, 247, 262, 263, 272, 289, 293, 294, 309, 328, 358, 367, 371, 374, 381, 383, 386, 389, 395, 406, 411, 415, 423, 424, 432, 438, 444, 493, 500, 505, 517, 520, 521, 522, 527, 531, 535, 537, 565, 566, 579, 590</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to consult with local residents to see what they want, especially in relation to design • Limited development to preserve the character of villages • Buildings over 100 years in age should be renovated not demolished • Don't add to Melbourn • Supportive of the southern busway (from Babraham to CBC), but there needs to be a limited number of houses and plan of 3500 houses in Babraham needs to be stopped. • No development for smaller villages, but could Sawston develop more? • Very little development is feasible as long as the rural nature of villages along the A10 corridor is preserved • If the development is routed to the south, it is clear there will be a lot of development and lobbying from developers to cram as many houses in as possible 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All villages will be massed develop anyway because the Council wants to minimise car travel • Why is the south so protected and all building is North and East? • Less housing and more facilities, jobs to minimise travel • Upfront money from developers should cover the costs of infrastructure • Why not re-establish rail stations in Cherry Hinton, and extend railway connections to Teversham and Fulbourn • Try to avoid ribbon developments • Don't swamp villages with too many people and turn them into dormitory towns of Cambridge. Have you considered the possibility of people working from home? How will you support this change? 	

Climate Change

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Houses including sustainable measures, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solar panels • Eco-friendly housing • Heat source pumps • Fully insulated homes • Net-zero • Ventilation systems • Built to the highest environmental standards as in Eddington • Airtightness as standard • Ensure building is done to Passivhaus standard • Reduce the need for concrete • Development should capture rain 	29, 86, 109, 153, 158, 218, 248, 296, 348, 497
Replace all the oil with greener technologies in these villages	45
Need to ensure all developments are low or zero carbon emissions	497

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Encourage community renewable energy projects with profits used for local good causes	89

Biodiversity and green spaces

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
There needs to be a new drainage and sewage system	260, 487
Green spaces, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keep small open spaces • As much open space as possible • Linked green spaces • Increase access to open spaces 	8, 9, 13, 127, 136, 143, 200, 206, 242, 251, 262, 275, 315, 330, 347, 367, 371, 379, 401, 410, 425, 444, 474, 477, 478, 483, 490, 492, 495, 508, 518, 545, 571, 572, 582, 583, 592
Protect open space, no more housing or other development	19, 128, 165, 179
Biodiversity planting/ insect hotels/ encourage wildlife/ wildflowers/ Hedgehog highways	12, 89, 143, 148, 166, 200, 242, 371, 408, 423, 478
Reforestation of wastewater treatment works	12
Spaces for recycling and repair of broken goods, including workshops and shared tools	12

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Spaces for informal camping outdoor camping and for the Traveller community to use as a transit stop	12
Parkland, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trees • Lakes • Places for growing food • Bee friendly planting • Areas of meadows • Ponds • 'Pocket parks' which allow people access to green spaces within 5 minutes of their house • Should be linked up with sae cycle and walking routes • Parks within built-up areas should be overlooked by houses and shops that encourages interaction by the community and which feels safe. • Every child should be able to access their local parks on foot and by cycle 	23, 53, 158, 229, 272, 318, 321, 363, 366, 371, 375, 379, 508, 571, 572, 582
Tree planting	200, 231, 242, 401, 477
Places are needed for 'growing spaces', including	363, 400, 508

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allotments • Community gardens • Mini grow-farms • Community fridges 	
Verges and roundabouts should be included as places where native trees and shrubs, herbs can grow so that wildlife can move from one place to the next/ streets should be lined with meadow grassland	89, 363
Only farming land	409
It must avoid low-lying areas liable to flood, which should be kept as recreational space.	410

Wellbeing and Social inclusion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
All community buildings should offer space for cooking, food sharing and community kitchens	363, 400

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Sports facilities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Free outdoor exercise facilities • Sports centre • Outdoor pool • A leisure centre • Swimming pool • Good cycling and running provision, bus way is a good example of shared-use paths 	<p>12, 13, 58, 143, 229, 248, 375, 379, 416, 444, 463, 495, 508, 571, 572</p>
<p>Community facilities, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community spaces • Splash pools • Libraries • Allotments • Playgrounds • Recreation areas • Community gardens • Spaces that are free to access • Public toilets 	<p>8, 12, 13, 46, 90, 143, 210, 229, 261, 330, 375, 416, 463, 488, 495, 508, 515, 518, 545, 571, 572, 590</p>
<p>Cemeteries</p>	<p>12</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Healthcare facilities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GP surgery • Convalescent/ Respite care • Dentists • Pharmacies 	12, 13, 55, 73, 96, 104, 296, 330, 416, 487, 488, 505, 518, 545, 571, 572
Educational facilities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schools • Special Education needs schools 	55, 73, 96, 248, 261, 296, 331, 361, 416, 488, 505, 518, 571, 572
Hang-out spaces for young people, including youth clubs	12
Skateboarding facilities, including indoor and outdoor facilities	413, 422, 502,
Facilities for the elderly	488

Great Places

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Public benches and picnic tables	12
These areas need some 'soul'	275

A changing art space, with a 4 th plinth style system that allows residents to choose artwork every 2-5 years	502
This needs to include public spaces and facilities to minimise the need to travel into the centre.	410
Fundamentally, I think the main policy focus of a local plan should be to design for children. If you make it work for them, you make it work for everyone	545

Jobs

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Comments relating to jobs, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More jobs are needed • Local jobs needed • Jobs within different sectors are needed • Jobs to suit differing abilities and working times 	206, 229, 508, 537, 551
Local services, such as garages performing MOTs	551
Clean jobs that produce less carbon	251, 583
Farming must be protected	251
Support for businesses, including:	12, 31, 109, 229, 261, 274, 340, 376, 432, 444, 502, 545

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Office space for small businesses • For small starter workshops for new businesses • Support for local businesses • Business clusters • Support for local craft and farmer markets • Business parks have potential if they are joined up with public transport • Aim should be to revive local businesses • Affordable rent for small businesses • Small units with flexible terms, but long-term flexibility 	
No more jobs as the only way to reduce housing prices is reducing the demand to move here.	331, 248
Training resources/ education for the jobs	127
Villages around Cambourne should be developed to provide local destinations for new residents. This could include speciality retail, local food growing projects, craftwork and heritage industry. This would provide local employment opportunities and diversify the villages	24, 148
More retail park shops	551

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Jobs should be located closer to reduce the need to travel long distances	89, 96, 143, 148, 425, 490, 508, 545, 571, 572
No need for offices as a lot of office blocks have been vacant for several years	183
Commercial facilities including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shops • Post Office • Drop-off and pick-up points for parcels • Banking facilities • Pub • Restaurants • Café's • Libraries 	29, 73, 89, 90, 96, 127, 158, 143, 212, 255, 261, 264, 331, 350, 362, 375, 376, 432, 463, 505, 508, 515, 537, 551
A new regional shopping centre	58
Supermarket	46
Facilities for the elderly	488

Homes

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Limited housing is needed, not too dense/ spacious localities	120, 158, 294, 383, 583
More housing is needed	174, 206, 237, 247, 274, 287, 297, 331, 416, 468, 592
Affordable housing, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More affordable housing generally • More housing for young people • Affordable housing in central locations • Remove 'tired areas' and replace them with houses at a low price • Co-housing schemes • Homes for key workers • Shared ownership schemes • More social housing • Less 'executive housing' • Starter homes 	8, 29, 73, 109, 136, 146, 251, 278, 280, 323, 344, 346, 347, 348, 349, 375, 378, 401, 410, 420, 444, 474, 502, 515, 527, 575, 582
More housing to reduce the need to travel	287, 571, 572
No need to build houses around the business parks	34

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Housing should be for local people, rather than commuters to London/ beware of turning it into a commuter town	86, 112
Fully contained site where travel is kept to a minimum/ less parking provision	163, 527
Small homes for those who want them / Smaller developments with adequate parking	76, 238
New houses should come with parking, especially in context of growing popularity of electric cars	474
Housing should be suitable for wheelchair users	86
Shelford/ Stapleford would benefit from mixed/lower cost house as access is good for the biomedical campus.	46
Dense housing near the train line/ high-density of housing	159
No more housing	75, 210
More housing along the Cambridge Royston Railway/ along the railway lines	58, 66
Some infill housing, but no massive new town	160
No housing near rail lines	31
Low density so that residents can produce their own food	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Villages should develop detached houses, whereas central urban locations should focus on larger blocks of flats / housing should be appropriate to location it is situated within	278, 286, 592
Homes should be built to a high architectural standard and be attractive	365
Homes should come with a garage/ driveway	487
Houses with gardens, not blocks of flats	492, 575

Infrastructure

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Cycle improvements, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycle paths • Well-lit cycle network • Segregated cycle paths to Cambridge • Needs to be connected to the rest of the network • Shared-use paths 	12, 76, 89, 121, 142, 194, 218, 229, 248, 264, 367, 375, 379, 394, 420, 425, 468, 490, 497, 527, 545, 566, 571, 572, 582

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Off-road cycle paths, possibly into town centre or villages • All buildings, parks and public spaces must be fully integrated with the cycling network • All new and refreshed cycle infrastructure must be designed and built in line with Local Transport Note (LTN) 1/20 • Cycle storage 	
<p>Better public transport measures, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public transport links to the business parks • Good links between villages • Free transport • More frequent bus services, including at weekends and evenings • 'Active' transport network • A train station • Rapid transit connections to the centre of Cambridge • Better transport between schools as this contributes to a lot of traffic 	<p>15, 33, 52, 89, 90, 96, 218, 228, 229, 248, 255, 291, 309, 323, 349, 350, 356, 362, 371, 375, 408, 416, 420, 468, 483, 487, 497, 508, 527, 530, 534, 564, 571, 572, 573, 582</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
A short-term electric bus-way should run along the A1307 which should eventually be replaced by a light railway.	40
Better transport links generally/ a more integrated travel network	212, 228, 251, 264, 410, 420, 497
There should be proper travel hubs and not the terrible travel hub	194
An electric tram should run along the main railways/ light railway	194, 566
Supportive of the southern busway (Babraham to CBC)	522
Roads should be less 'wiggly', the roads should be straightened, and the land should be used for housing	52
Reduce the need for cars, so within walking and cycling distances, all amenities are accessible	142, 218, 508, 571, 572
Should reinstall railway line between Haverhill and Cambridge to alleviate overuse of the A1307/ generally re-establish train stations on the rail line into Cambridge	99, 254
A commuter ring-road has to be built to replace the use of narrow village streets by commuters and HGV's and this should be balanced by the pedestrianisation of some village	40

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
centres, LTNs and the extension of the mobility schemes to cover the villages	
Improvements to roads, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wider roads • Multiple entrances to the site. • Roads should be built to a high architectural standard and not be 'soulless' 	158, 229, 261, 420, 582
Area should be serviced 24/7 7 days a week	582
Should reduce the amount of parking provision so that there is more space for greenery	490, 497
Why not re-establish railway stations in Cherry Hinton, and extend railway connections to Teversham and Fulbourn?	79
Trams/ light railway should be used or new underground travel system	33, 40, 142, 260, 356
Transport should be prioritised above employment and housing	194
Better transport links that don't rely on cars	15
Better walking infrastructure, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circular walks • Better paved walks for buggies and wheelchairs 	52, 142, 264, 367, 375, 425

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'World-class' infrastructure 	
Transport needs to be completed before building any houses or light factory units	260
Due to existing traffic problems, consider rail stops at bigger stations and integrated minibus links to the stations	543
Parking comments, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cheaper parking • Parking for multiple cars • Any new development should be exemplary with seriously high goals, such as car free Vauban in Freiburg, Germany, or Cayala in Guatemala 	261, 416, 545
It should be a car-free area and ban future conversion of front gardens to parking	208

Other Comments

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Why is nothing being placed in the south-west of Cambridge. Is it because rich people live there?	277
Where is your analysis of the radical changes to Work-Life patterns/WFH/Travel needs etc. we have all seen with COVID19 pandemic?	395, 438
I hope EWR is not a 'Trojan Horse' to create a need for further development in this area. I hope that the Planning Department are concerned about maintaining a good quality of life for local citizens	399
Leading question	404
Young graduates struggle to stay in Cambridge, therefore transport and affordable housing improvements are needed	420
Why not build a plastic recycling centre and incinerator to poison Cambridge there?	469
The villages have already been developed significantly. You need to consider how this has impacted on their original purpose, as they originated from the rural economy. If they are now commuter dormitories, then their design needs to be	506

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
reviewed in that context as this will shape the type of development in the area.	
Where do you mean the cluster of the village is? If you mean the south of the A428, I agree	42

Q8. We think we should be very limited about the development we allow in villages, with only a few allocated sites in villages with good public transport connections and local services. Which villages do you think should see new development of any kind?

Opinion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No development with no reason given	9, 57, 126, 134, 147, 155, 162, 165, 179, 180, 185, 208, 226, 242, 249, 274, 275, 311, 332, 360, 366, 387, 389, 392, 436, 469, 488, 492, 505, 547, 550
No development for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on green belt 	4, 18, 19, 23, 26, 29, 30, 49, 53, 62, 64, 67, 74, 81, 91, 95, 99, 106, 138, 153, 187, 203, 210, 247, 256, 283, 291, 310, 312,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Already more than limited in villages such as Waterbeach • Impact on urban sprawl • Impact on character of villages • Biodiversity concerns • Should help more deprived areas of region, i.e., Wisbech • Impact in traffic • Sustainability concerns • Level-up other parts of country • Making more jobs is not an excuse as there are already enough jobs for young people if they tried harder • Concern about community facilities already being over-strained • Too many people already • Should focus on new communities not villages • Poor transport infrastructure/ public transport • Landscape concerns 	<p>333, 335, 356, 359, 368, 395, 397, 399, 419, 423, 433, 439, 442, 458, 460, 476, 480, 521, 535, 538, 539, 590, 594, 597</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No development should take place until transport/ water/ infrastructure/ amenities improvements first take place before building	2, 40, 68, 79, 83, 86, 89, 113, 115, 131, 141, 142, 178, 190, 212, 216, 424, 468, 486, 507, 554
<p>Agree, limited development is needed, comments included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only develop where necessary • Take into consideration increased Working from home • Limited development unless well-paid jobs also put in villages to prevent commuting 	11, 69, 101, 103, 117, 130, 244, 263, 268, 270, 293, 296, 309, 350, 373, 376, 383, 385, 398, 416, 432, 461, 474, 494, 533, 543, 566, 568
No development on greenfield/ greenbelt, brownfield only	50, 51, 96, 103, 133, 139, 205, 221, 225, 238, 263, 309, 379, 456, 475, 500, 588
Only develop where the water-table can sustain it	334
All villages should share the housing burden as long as building is within the village envelope	201
Should finish massive developments before building more	11
Villages that have not already significantly increased in size should have small developments.	494
Less development should occur in more villages	80
Against the proposition, instead there should be more than limited development in villages	16, 24, 27, 87, 523

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Development should be shared around the villages within the village envelopes	201, 282
Small infill sites in villages that were submitted in the call for sites and have not been accepted. These should be developed	441
Larger villages should be developed, but it should not lead to a merging of villages	298
Villages should be consulted on nature of development/ it should be up to them to decide what gets built	20, 41, 90, 111, 200, 386, 431, 463
Agree, but don't specify which villages should receive development	245, 279, 299, 346, 358, 378, 398, 520, 520
Smaller villages should see development along with improved public transport	297
There should also be a policy of allowing and encouraging more infill building which increases housing density on land already in residential use.	475
Why not redevelop empty shops/ sites in Cambridge and leave villages alone	383, 456
Gift the land to local people who can develop it	204
Housing should be affordable	204

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Ensure that there remain gaps between villages to maintain identity	108

Which places should not be developed or receive limited development?

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No development Highfield Caldecott	7
No development in Bourn Airfield	7
No development in Great Shelford/ Stapleford	97, 122, 125, 140, 184, 252, 312, 333, 336, 357, 377, 565, 569
No development in Melbourn	43, 463, 531, 543, 549
No development in Babraham	295
No development in Meldreth	549
No development in Barrington	543
No development in Milton	13
No development in Longstanton	232
No development in Northstowe	232
No development in Cottenham	232
No development in Rampton	232
No development in Histon	250

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No development in Oakington	383
No development in Impington	250
No infill in Bourn	171
Nothing too close to Cambridge/ development shouldn't just be put into Cambridge which can also lead to negative impact	175, 573
No massive new town as proposed by Thakeham	160
Only infill on brownfield land in Stapleford/ Shelford	189
<p>Should only build 10's, not 100's of houses in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Babraham • Sawston • Stapleford • Shelford 	34
Only development should be an increase in jobs in Cambourne, but no increase in homes	134

Where should be developed?

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
All of villages should receive development	11, 237, 254, 261 289, 354, 483, 498, 514
Development in places with good transport connections. Comments included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Villages on train line • Villages on busway • Villages with good public transport connections • Villages with good greenway routes, • Villages with good cycle lanes + pavements. • Villages where the above things will soon be provided 	6, 10, 14, 16, 33, 143, 148, 190, 194, 224, 264, 315, 373, 376, 406, 407, 461, 485, 490, 497, 500, 510, 533, 551, 574, 578, 350
Development should be in areas with poor transport connections	163
Larger villages with Post Office/ Café/ Education/ Medical/ pre-existing amenities	47, 66, 73, 85, 449, 461, 561
Development should be situated in villages north and west of Cambridge	583
Too much development in north and west of city/ Large development needed in South Cambridgeshire/ near Biomedical Campus.	72, 191, 238, 254, 574

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Transport connections should improve to enable development on this side	
More development needed in south and west	286
In villages near to centre of Cambridgeshire	323
In villages with business parks	79, 287
Standalone villages	78
Development should be in new villages/ villages, e.g. Northstowe, Eddington, Waterbeach and not old villages which should be limited to infill sites	170, 24, 482
Ely	323
Villages near A14	323
Foxton	6, 109, 160, 196, 315, 380, 420, 515, 528, 540, 543, 577
Fowlmere	543
Newtown	321
Coton	6
Stow-Cum-Quy	6
Girton	6, 276, 277, 361
Fulbourn	6, 33, 349, 374, 515

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Barton	6, 286, 348
Duxford	16, 47, 183
Waterbeach	25, 159, 170, 321, 323, 328, 339, 388, 438
Cottenham	31, 70, 108, 159, 235,349, 361, 362, 432
Willingham	31, 70, 362
Linton	33
Sawston	33, 84, 202, 273, 371, 475, 517, 565
Royston	42
Gamlingay	42, 47
Melbourn	42, 58, 109, 137, 160, 380, 420, 515, 540
Meldreth	42, 109, 420, 577
Bassingbourn	42, 543
March	90
Littleport	90
Chatteris	90
Shelford/ Stapleford	84, 202, 251, 294, 466
Bourn	47
Shepreth	58, 420, 577
Dullingham	58

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Whittlesford	58, 183
Hardwick	68, 251, 286
Bar Hill	70, 166, 206
Granchester	71,276, 277
Harston	109, 380
Histon	112, 284, 323, 349, 361, 374, 379, 422, 432
Impington	112, 349, 379, 422
Cambourne	146, 196, 321, 328, 438, 555
Landbeach	159
Airport site- unspecified?	438
Eddington	170
Northstowe	170, 328, 388, 438, 509
Fowlmere	217, 543
Milton	235, 284, 323, 555, 563
Abington	254
Babraham	254, 294, 517
Oakington	235
Ramsey	272
Trumpington	277

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Madingley	276, 374
Over	362
Comberton	286
Cherry Hinton	301, 349
Longstanton	362
Swavesey	362
Teversham	374, 515
Proposal by Trinity College to expand the Science Park would support growth of nearby housing developments + provide much needed open space + support local villages	222, 248
Council should build further out beyond Shelford to make housing more affordable and encourage public transport	207
Design a Garden Town/Village with lower density housing. Not blocks as in Eddington, that does not fit in with the landscape	339

Proposed requirements for developing in villages

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Only develop if car-free	6
New developments must adhere to 15-minute neighbourhood principles and provide housing, employment, jobs, facilities etc. in 15-min radius	401, 571
Only develop if: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public transport is included • Safe cycle links are included • New villages have school, shop • Travel is safe for children • New developments adhere to 15-minute city philosophy which includes sustainable forms of transport 	6, 52, 545, 571, 401
New development should have employment	24
Everything should be carbon net-zero	86, 133
Houses should be suitable for wheelchairs	86
Transport improvements are needed, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buses • Congestion charge 	63, 69, 83, 142, 181, 309, 331, 339, 404, 422, 530, 538, 543, 544, 566

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Speed cameras • Cheaper public transport • Cycle lanes • Provide a new NE bypass from A10 • New developments on the fringes of villages must have footpath and cycle path connectivity to the village centre 	
Buildings should be sympathetic to character of villages	133, 151, 224, 261, 373, 470
Development needs to carefully think about floodplains	168, 216, 449
Leave natural spaces/ protect green spaces/ tree planting	181, 231, 422
Rural exception housing in the villages	194
Not expensive private development which local people cannot afford/ social housing only	194, 545
Stick a busway alongside the villages and put stops alongside where the villages will come up	202

Other Comments

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Please define what 'good public transport connections' are as very few villages have good connections	213
Why 'limited' in villages and not elsewhere	4
Public transport needs to improve in the villages	229
laughable to hear you talk about good public transport and connections from villages when all stagecoach has ever done is cut bus services to villages to save money.	236
Water authorities and developers should be held accountable and be kept to original plans on affordability and sustainability.	260
Let the free-market decide	268
Each village should have its own individual aspects and interests. Each village should have both commercial areas, retail areas and amenity activities in addition to residential development potential	289
If places like Cambourne and Northstowe are allowed to swallow smaller surrounding villages, what's the point of limiting development	290

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
You get the impression that you first build automated routes to every village and then announce that as they all have good public transport they should be developed/ don't use future transport links as an excuse to destroy green belt	295, 533, 538
Do not use the proposed new travel hub near Babraham as an excuse for over-development along the new busway in Babraham, Sawston, Stapleford and Shelfords.	533
Development should take place in villages that are already on the way to being ruined	304
I don't approve of singling out specific villages	311
No one seems to know about this consultation round here. The council needs to do better at telling people, as I don't know anyone who thinks these homes are a good idea.	312, 357
Villages are vital to the housing markets in the form of small integrated units which are not larger than the existing village. They are often cheaper than city in terms of housing but suffer from poor transport links and reduced services. Some people prefer this to the density of towns	319

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
If S106 was lifted, people would move freely and no more new builds would be needed	324
Where are the water supplies coming from these homes	328
I think the reasoning re public transport is sound. But what if you're living in village without public transport and you can't drive because you can't afford it and /or are unable to do so because of disability? The villages without public transport then become limited to the well well-off.	330
We should maintain and encourage local businesses not superstores	335
Co-housing schemes	344
Brownfield sites in Cambridge should be developed and no further development of hotels or student accommodation	359
Whatever's done should be high-quality and enhance people's lives	365
Avoid large developments in villages	367
Why? You've already ruined the three Cambourne villages. Why stop there?	370

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
I live in Fulbourn, which is already faced with two approved developments of over 300 dwellings, which I think is too much even though we are a larger village.	373
I feel there should be very little if any retail and office space provided. Instead, there should be open space, woodland plantations etc.	385
Where is your analysis of the Climate Change degradation on all this unnecessary new building? Also, where is your analysis of the radical changes to Work-Life patterns/WFH/Travel needs?	395, 470
What is “limited development”. Who controls it? The developers with the most money? Most people use their cars for convenience and would not use public transport. How can you shop on a bike or a train for a family?	418
Histon and Impington Skate park should be expanded	422
No more roadways should be developed	485
No more development in the A10 or A603 corridors	487
Please provide plans and costing and sustainability of the 'good public transport' model that is being proposed and also	495

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
provide evidence that these proposals have worked in other regions either here or abroad. Same goes for the local services.	
I agree with the principle but other proposals above break this principle	496
Limited building on brownfield sites should be allowed however building over 100 years in age should be renovated not demolished.	500
Didn't you say in question 7 that you wanted to see more development in villages	506
This is hypocritical to not build in the villages, when the WWTP project will cause similar environmental harm to the Green Belt.	518
There should be no development with more than 10 units in any village bar exceptional cases, with in aggregate developments over the Plan period limited to 50 units per village except where there is infill which is not on open space.	568
Whatever villages are developed it should fall within the local guidelines and communication with local councils.	582
Playgrounds for the kids are needed	590

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Hope this won't be decided upon the basis of who shouts the loudest	592
New developments in all locations needs to be definitive and balanced	595
To answer this would require detailed knowledge of every village in South Cambs.	10

Q9: What housing, jobs, facilities, or open spaces do you think should be provided in and around these villages?

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
None for reasons including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not enough water/ should not have development until more water is provided • Land needed for farming • City is already constrained • Protect the green belt 	5, 11, 18, 41 63, 95, 115, 117, 134, 141, 192, 216, 244, 247, 256, 359, 368, 392 403, 418, 423, 456, 509, 521, 533, 535, 554, 565, 566, 584

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is enough development in the plan already • Hospital capacity needs to be increased before new developments • Jobs are needed in the north of the country, not Cambridge • People chose to live in a village for the ‘village life’, not the job opportunities or facilities • Northstowe is creeping closer to Oakington and therefore village should not be built on • Local plan should prevent urbanisation which would ruin character of villages • Retain what is already there • Building on greenfield land always reduces the amount of available open space 	
None with no reason given	27, 30, 49, 57, 64, 106, 138, 163, 179, 183, 185, 187, 210, 331, 366, 442, 458, 469, 507
Amenities need to be within walking distance	83, 143

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Limited development, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No development in the Green Belt • Sites should be placed in bigger villages where residents can see the benefits from housing in terms of more amenities • Only build if transport infrastructure is in-place • retain spaces between the villages • Small villages are suitable for small increases on brownfield sites • All facilities should be provided within existing boundaries • Enough development to support the infrastructure • Strictly infill for housing / no development outside residential boundaries • Avoidance of ribbon development • Small expansion of villages can enhance the viability of village services 	<p>111, 200, 238 248, 267, 272, 293, 304, 311, 358, 395, 432, 460, 461, 479, 485, 505, 511, 543, 544, 549, 561, 569, 571, 582, 590, 597</p>
<p>All new development should focus on the need to build true '15-minute neighbourhoods' so that those who live and work</p>	<p>24, 267, 425, 490, 544, 571</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
there do not have to be dependent on car use and can access the majority of their destinations including jobs, education, shops, services, open space and leisure amenities within a short walk or cycle ride. Therefore, any significant development must have a mix of uses to give people a chance to access everyday needs without travelling far.	

Deliverability

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
All villages should be developed to prevent them becoming dead dormitory towns.	24
The area south of Cambridge through to Royston has existing rail links and should be considered for more than limited development both housing and business/scientific.	577
North Cambridgeshire has seen a lot of development. The south should see some occur	72
Brownfield sites should be used not greenfield	86

In Histon, a new GP surgery is needed along with a public playground next to the new Park Primary school	155
No development should be allowed which merges villages	298
Adequate facilities should accompany housing	331

Climate change

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>All housing/ development should be carbon net-zero/ highest environmental standards, including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solar panels • Water harvesting • Passive house • Developments are low or zero-carbon emissions • Homes are well-insulated 	86, 109, 218, 296, 328, 388, 545, 497
Community renewable energy projects should be encouraged	89
Nearly all the growth suggested in the Draft Local Plan will lead to new building with an associated increase in the area of artificial surfaces. Of course the developers will claim this can be off-set by the use of badly designed Sustainable Drainage	439

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Systems, SuDS. It is essential that safe and effective, design and implementation of SuDs is adequately enforced.	
The local sewage system is currently inadequate. Currently, there are no plans to improve failing combined sewer overflows (csos), just promises to monitor them more accurately. To date there have been no upgrades at any of the smaller works in the area while more and more taps are still being connected. The Environment Agency has already warned at least one Cambridgeshire local planning authority, East Cambs District Council, that they must stop looking at the sewage requirements of single planning applications and instead look at the cumulative effects.	439

Biodiversity and green spaces

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
There are enough natural open spaces	34, 69,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
More open/ green spaces generally	4, 6, 8, 9, 19, 20, 28, 62, 74, 86, 135, 137, 151, 153, 160, 180, 191, 194, 196, 206, 212, 226, 231, 237, 238, 242, 251, 267, 274, 293, 297, 319, 335, 349, 353, 374, 381, 393, 398, 425, 449, 460, 466 474, 490, 509, 533, 543, 545, 562, 563, 569, 571, 574, 582, 583, 592
<p>Green improvements, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Borders between villages to be kept clear and defined perhaps by corridors of trees/woods so that new walkways can be established • Reforesting 	9, 62, 76, 143
<p>Biodiversity planting, including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insect hotels • Encouragement of wildlife • Wildlife spaces • Tree planting • Managed woodland involving native, not forestry pine • Open meadow land • Community orchards 	12, 143, 166, 226, 231, 242, 334, 398, 478, 545

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wildflower meadows 	
Spaces for informal camping/ use by the Traveller community	12
At least 1 hectare of new nature reserve for every 10 new houses	562
Parks, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green spaces that are proper green areas and do not pretend to be parks Trees Which are safe to play in Lakes Places to walk dogs Local council pavilions Outdoor gyms Parks for kids Parks within the built-up area should be overlooked by houses and shops, with a welcoming design that encourages interaction with the surrounding community Should be accessible by children 	24, 40, 61, 83, 180, 191, 194, 196, 202, 212, 293, 321, 323, 425, 490, 528, 531, 544, 545, 571, 574, 592

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
There should be the 'right to roam' on this land	190
Plan for greenways from a number of villages in Cambridge is a good idea	263
Food growing opportunities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allotments • Co-farming • Community gardens • Growing facilities at no expense to residents 	349, 400, 478, 502

Wellbeing and social inclusion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Facilities are already good in the villages	69
More community spaces, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Libraries • Village hall • Community facilities designed for multipurpose usage • Community centres 	8, 12, 73, 83, 127, 135, 151 196, 202, 237, 264, 267, 275, 279, 286, 293, 301, 323, 324, 328, 331, 349, 367, 381, 400, 425 461, 463, 495, 508, 515, 538, 551, 555, 561, 562, 571, 590, 592

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Splash pools • Playgrounds • Places for recycling and the repair of broken goods • Community centres with community kitchens and food sharing facilities • Youth clubs • Play facilities should mirror the village demographic • Fenced-off areas for children's play 	
Cemeteries	12
<p>Sports facilities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Free outdoor exercise facilities • Sports centre • Outdoor pool • A leisure centre • Swimming pool • BMX park and track • Dog walk areas • Pump tracks • Gym 	12, 40, 58, 83, 111, 113, 131, 135 151, 196, 212, 213, 227,237, 261, 264, 267, 275, 289, 290, 293, 301, 324, 331, 367, 381, 422, 463, 502, 508, 514, 519, 528, 531, 555, 561, 592

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Skateboarding facilities 	
Healthcare institutions, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GP surgeries Respite care Dentists Mental health support hub Pharmacy 	12, 73, 85, 96, 126, 127, 151, 177, 190, 196, 212, 237, 251, 261, 264, 296, 331, 339, 367, 381, 425, 449, 461, 466, 478, 483, 485, 487, 490, 494, 508, 519, 538, 544, 549, 561, 565, 571, 592
Education facilities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Schools Special needs school 	126, 146, 151, 159, 196, 237, 261 264, 296, 315, 361, 381, 388, 425, 461, 485, 487, 490, 494, 508, 519, 538, 544, 561, 571, 592
Childcare, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nurseries 	83, 190, 196, 229, 237, 425, 483, 487, 508, 571
Support for the elderly within the community	229, 237
Children's activities	463
At least one new community centre for every 500 new homes	562

Great places

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Lots of public benches and picnic tables	12
Hang-out spaces for teenagers	12
Any development needs to reflect the village character and meet the needs of local residents	42, 151
Changing art space. Have a fourth plinth style system that allows residents to choose the artwork, and have it change every 2-5 years to keep fresh artwork that stays relevant.	502
Creative features are needed to make it a destination to travel to	561

Jobs

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No more jobs	69, 93, 175, 301, 505, 543
Generally, more local jobs	4, 24, 159, 206, 237, 293, 493, 577, 592

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Help for local businesses, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Space for small starter workshops • Space for local craft and farmers markets • Businesses that support the local community • Facilitate local businesses to try and recruit locally • Small units • Affordable rents for small businesses • Shared office spaces with bookable meeting rooms, small conference facilities • Small units for long-term rental, with flexible terms, but long-term stability 	12, 78, 112, 147, 194, 196, 229, 261, 323, 444, 489, 502, 508, 564, 577, 592
A mix of small employers and tradespeople	24
Hi-value jobs/ high-quality activity	137, 540, 577
Jobs need to be ‘green jobs’/ non-polluting	382, 583
Jobs should focus on smaller manufacturing and IT units/ Hi-tech-jobs	260, 568
Better commercial facilities including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shops • Supermarket 	25, 31, 58, 73, 83, 93, 127, 135, 146, 159, 190, 194, 196, 229, 245, 251, 261, 264, 267, 279, 282, 283, 286, 289, 315, 331,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post office • Pub • Cafes • Local food shops • restaurants • Petrol station • Country based businesses 	349, 361, 367, 382, 461, 466, 483, 508, 515, 519, 538, 555, 561, 592
Home working should be encouraged	168
Jobs should be encouraged locally which accord with the '15-minute' neighbourhood idea	425
Small business parks/ small science parks	96, 170, 191, 194, 196
Business parks and innovation centres work well when on mainline rail links	500

Homes

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No more housing	4, 247, 297, 367, 383

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Generally, more housing	16, 206, 237, 293, 301, 315, 321, 331, 438, 505, 577, 592
<p>More affordable housing, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing for local people should be prioritised • Affordable housing for first-time buyers and younger people • Co-housing schemes • More affordable housing in and around Melbourne • Housing for key workers • Social housing • Housing should be targeted at the median consumer • No luxury flats • Council Housing • Single-storey accommodation • 1 – 3 bed homes 	8, 31, 112, 251, 260, 323, 344, 349, 420, 432, 449, 474, 475, 478, 502, 519, 520, 545, 555, 575, 582
Family-sized homes	160, 251, 538
Bungalows	251
Denser housing, less student accommodation/ 15-minute neighbourhood principles should be implemented for new development	66, 491, 571

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Less denser housing, with gardens	68, 349, 575
Any residential development of more than a couple of houses should be wheelchair friendly	86
New houses should be linked to new employment opportunities created	86
Housing for those who want to be close to work, but who do not need the social resources of the city	137
New housing should have good transport links to reduce the need to use a car	218, 425
Housing should be attractive and take into account the identity of villages	296
A limited number not exceeding 10-15 houses could be built	538
Affordable 3-bedroom housing is needed	407

Infrastructure

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Improved walking routes, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encouraging children to walk to school • Well-lit footpaths with CCTV • Pavements are in a poor state • Need a better set of footpaths for people to access the countryside/ country parks 	<p>142, 143, 151, 153, 190, 196, 237, 251, 264, 291, 328, 349, 367, 425, 459, 490, 497, 544, 561, 571, 573, 574, 582</p>
<p>Better transport links, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved access between the villages • Other areas are required for active travel 	<p>51, 126, 151, 153, 190, 196, 212, 218, 237, 264, 349, 360, 361, 365, 367, 388, 404, 416, 420, 425, 490, 497, 508, 544, 561, 571, 573, 582</p>
<p>Improved public transport, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better connections to key hubs • Better bus connections • Better rail connections • Regular bus and train services which are well-advertised • Tram to city centre • Buses should arrive at 10-minute intervals at peak times 	<p>6, 16, 58, 73, 85, 109, 131, 142, 143, 151, 190, 196, 212, 218, 237, 253, 264, 287, 291, 349, 356, 361, 365, 371, 376, 387, 388, 398, 404, 416, 420, 425, 486, 490, 497, 508, 519, 530, 544, 561, 571, 573, 582, 596</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guided busway • Create such good transport that there is less need to travel by car • A bus provided between villages to help with the school run. 	
<p>Improved cycling routes, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Off-road cycle paths • Better routes within and between the villages • Path between Melbourn and Royston • All buildings, parks and public spaces must be fully integrated with the cycling network and all new and refreshed cycle infrastructure must be designed and built in line with Local Transport Note (LTN) 1/20 • Wilbrahams could do with a cycle link up to Newmarket Road • Wide paths • Safer cycle lanes • Adjacent footways 	<p>6, 12, 50, 113, 142, 143, 151, 153, 156, 190, 191, 196, 212, 213, 237, 264, 274, 276, 328, 346, 349, 394, 425, 431, 459, 490, 497, 544, 545, 561, 562, 571, 573, 582</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Separated from the road • Suitable to use at night • Cycleway as much as possible from villages into Cambridge town centre 	
Secure bike storage	153
Better parking	31, 261
New estates should only have room for one car/ a minimum amount of cars/ Reducing the amount of road and parking space needed for cars will provide more space for greenery and green corridors in proposed developments.	328, 425, 490, 544, 571
New houses should have more than one car parking space	474
Electric Charging points	474
New bridleway	40, 190
Better roads, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Road bypasses • Direct route to useful shops such as B&Q, not just to Cambridge city centre • Multiple entrances and exits 	51, 71, 93, 109, 151, 190, 196, 261, 356, 361, 367

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More houses would put a great strain on the roads, so they need investment • Traffic is travelling at excess speed • Roads are in a poor state 	
Broadband needs to improve	99, 147, 330, 353

Other comments

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
We have residents willing to invest £300k in reforestation, yet can't engage the local landowners. Would you be able to provide some pressure?	76
Cambridge industrial estate shops should offer a service to transport goods without using a car	93
Strategic support needs to be clearly defined and committed to avoid damage to traditional village facilities (post offices, butchers, village shops...). How are you going to balance the	171

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
tensions with the greed for the big anchor tenant supermarkets etc?	
The overall new development in all locations needs to be definitive and balanced	595
Ask local residents/ those living in the villages should be consulted	200, 236, 386, 431, 436
Should people choose to live in a village, they no doubt will have transport to their place of work. Also they would have chosen a village because they enjoy the peace and green space of the countryside.	482
The guided bus has been a success and capacity at peak times is a problem as buses arrive full at places like Histon	284
Foxton needs parking for the train to Addenbrookes	203
Villages should be expanded wherever possible because they are popular places to live	592
Trinity College Proposal for Science Park North is a notable omission from the current round of the Local Plan, but it would provide a significant amount of new hi-tech jobs and provide additional green space.	222

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
The level of development around Addenbrookes is awful with three story house crammed to gather or the concrete wasteland that is Eddington.	353
<p>Do you really think they'll be able to function as self-contained communities?</p> <p>Perhaps that's not what you mean. The economy of the villages is disrupted by the presence of a wealthy city within commuting distance. They are now functionally detached from the environment. And house prices perpetuate this so that seasonal agricultural employment has to be accommodated on farms in temporary facilities where the skills cannot be replaced with technology.</p>	506
Exactly what development occurs needs to be decided on a village-by-village basis	350
Villages still need their own facilities so they need to be kept alive and operational	508
There needs to be a lot of development, villages can't preserve their life in aspic. If they want to pretend they live in	237

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
the 1950s countryside, then they shouldn't live on the border of the world's premier biomedical city.	
Babraham Research Institute and Cheveley Farms are working together to deliver in terms of delivering a village of 130 houses	295
We have a lot of employment in Fulbourn but many people still travel into the village by car to work and many people from here travel across country to work by car, as such journeys are not serviced by public transport.	373
Once you grow a village too much you can never get back that village feel.	383
Where is your analysis of the Climate Change degradation on all this unnecessary new building? (concrete/diesel powered trucks & machinery ... etc.)?	395
Often service such as healthcare and education are not being increased along with house numbers, meaning service are stretched	494

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Where is your analysis of the radical changes to Work-Life patterns/WFH/Travel needs etc. we have all seen with COVID19 pandemic?	395
I'm unsure what proportion of village home-owners of working age actually work 'locally'	419
The most important issue is that our councillors should represent the interests of residents, not property developers. They should be working for genuinely-affordable housing, and not accepting the current weak standards that mean that new developments do not offer genuinely affordable housing.	475
To answer this would require detailed knowledge of every village in South Cambs. Any village picked for some of these allocated sites should be sustainable.	10
This is a terrible questionnaire which is clearly designed to prompt an uncritical response to the local plan. The local plan is fraudulent and invalid without mentioning the proposed re-location of the WWTP on which it fully depends.	518

Q10. Are there any sites which you think should be developed for housing or business use, which we haven't got on our map so far?

Opinion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
No	2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 18, 19, 29, 30, 36, 42, 50, 51, 53, 57, 62, 64, 68, 73, 85, 86, 89, 95, 96, 99, 109, 115, 126, 127, 131, 135, 138, 139, 143, 147, 158, 160, 163, 170, 171, 175, 177, 179, 185, 196, 200, 205, 208, 213, 216, 226, 229, 231, 242, 244, 249, 251, 261, 262, 270, 273, 274, 290, 291, 293, 296, 297, 299, 304, 309, 311, 321, 324, 332, 345, 350, 356, 360, 366, 371, 374, 376, 387, 389, 392, 393, 403, 405, 423, 431, 449, 450, 454, 458, 463, 479, 482, 484, 485, 487, 489, 493, 500, 503, 505, 507, 528, 529, 533, 537, 540, 547, 549, 563, 564, 568, 590, 594, 597
Don't know	12, 13, 74, 212, 263, 275, 280, 294, 328 411, 567
Stop / limit development – no more development is needed.	18, 20, 27, 30, 62, 70, 81, 134, 139, 175, 210, 223, 242, 257, 290, 318, 359, 378, 386, 393, 395, 397, 442, 474, 521, 538, 548, 590
Too much development already.	41, 63, 168, 171, 291, 365, 533, 548, 565

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Proposals suggest a need for 30,000 new homes – how has this figure been calculated? How many homes are required according to Government?	419
There are sites shown that shouldn't be included – should be no development in the Green Belt.	45
If Green Belt is to be lost at Honey Hill, it should be for housing not waste water treatment works, with the waste water treatment works retained on its existing site.	518
Too much growth in north Cambridge e.g. Northstowe, Waterbeach	434, 578
Proposed development and infrastructure in the Local Plan breaches obligations for sustainable development. Embodied carbon emissions are ignored.	439
Climate change should be considered when deciding on further development.	136, 223, 291, 395
No development until new water infrastructure built.	141, 436
No development until increased hospital / health service capacity.	168, 554

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Amount of growth should be determined by water and power availability rather than employment targets.	190
More small business and office units are needed in villages – planning should allow for businesses to locate close to their employees. Large employment sites create transport problems.	289
Brownfield sites should be prioritised.	187, 225, 280, 309, 319, 439, 535, 11, 436, 588, 491, 553, 89, 485, 533, 547
Development should be concentrated in towns / existing population centres.	190, 568, 571
Legacy villages should be developed as semi-autonomous hubs.	24

Discussion of sites

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
S/C/R5 Camfields Resource Centre and Oil Depot, Ditton Walk – should be changed to mixed use development, with cafe/restaurant to encourage more use of the green space along the river and provide a local meeting point for the new and existing housing in the area.	364
Newmarket Road / Beehive Centre etc – develop for housing, pubs and other uses.	16, 66, 236
Grafton Centre – convert back to housing, less demand for retail	66, 101, 283
Car parks on Riverside, underneath Elizabeth Way Bridge – replace with housing	552
Orchard Road, off Hinton Way – fenced off site with some dwellings on it, could be used for affordable housing	475
Rifle range	317
College grounds / under used college sports grounds	180, 221
BT building, Long Road	483
Coldham's Common	414
Gog Magog areas	237

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Regenerate areas to increase quality of life	20, 106
Newnham	71, 277
Trumpington	237, 277, 281
Orchard Park	422
North of Barton Road - South of M11	6
South of West Cambridge Campus (e.g. Laundry Farm) – good location for mixed use neighbourhood	121
Cambridge airport	146, 438
New town close to A11/A14 junction	260
Land between Girton, Arbury and south of A14 (already encircled)	498
Alongside the A1307 between Huntingdon and Swavesey (as a result of development of A14)	56
Along the A428	520
West of Cambridge	276, 286, 531
St Neots / Cambourne / Northstowe arc needs to be developed as a manufacturing / business area – to balance Cambridge’s reliance on biotech industries.	40
South of Cambridge	247, 286, 434

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Along the A505	120
Along existing Kings Cross and Liverpool Street railway lines e.g. Meldreth, Ashwell & Morden	72, 104
Along new railway lines e.g. along East-West Rail from Cambourne to Cambridge Biomedical Campus.	72
Bassingbourn airfield / barracks	543, 577
Cambridge Science Park North in Impington	112, 191, 222, 444
Milton Road, Impington – housing and open space	112
North of Science Park, in Impington – land owned by Chivers Farms	206
Land by railway bridge and industrial estate, south of Meldreth. Bridge is unsafe, development could include new pedestrian and cycle bridge over the railway.	52
Heydon golf course – could include new homes	52
Barton	47, 71
Comberton	490
Coton	281, 578,
Duxford	120, 461, 598
Foxton	315, 514

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Fulbourn	290
Grantchester	277, 281
Madingley	276, 281
Melbourn	420
Meldreth	104, 159
Milton	383
Pampisford	461
Sawston	202, 486, 598
Shelford	237
Shepreth	159
Whittlesford	
<p data-bbox="203 927 976 959">Some areas suggested outside of Greater Cambridge:</p> <ul data-bbox="203 983 1099 1358" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="203 983 371 1015">• Ramsey <li data-bbox="203 1038 647 1070">• March / Chatteris / Wisbech <li data-bbox="203 1094 1099 1190">• To the east in the Fens / closer to Newmarket / around Ely / Littleport <li data-bbox="203 1214 1077 1302">• Further north where they need development e.g. Spalding, Boston <li data-bbox="203 1326 965 1358">• New town between Huntingdon and Peterborough 	58, 90, 183, 260, 272, 339, 388, 432, 469

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Felixstowe • South west of the country 	
Revitalise villages by allowing them to take on a small amount of development, rather than focussing all development in Cambridge.	20, 80, 90
Concentrate development in Cambridge where people can use public transport, walk or cycle.	34, 535
Fen End Road could be improved.	45
Re-open old alignment of Fitzroy Street with retail pared back to scale of Mill Road	66

Other comments

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
There should be no development in Trumpington	4

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Support for Thakenham proposals not being included in the Local Plan.	376
Agricultural fields should be considered first – used in monoculture for years, so less threats to loss of wildlife.	166
Meadows, woodland, hedgerows and ponds should be protected from development.	166
Green spaces should be protected from further housing development.	168, 223
Office space should be turned into housing.	488, 496
Empty shops should be converted to truly affordable housing.	382
Reuse existing buildings, rather than build new developments.	395
Local Plan should encourage Cambridge businesses to move north where there are empty homes and brownfield sites that could be redeveloped for housing.	439
Scrap the unelected Greater Cambridge Partnership.	19
Need improved infrastructure e.g. public transport, broadband	365, 474
Sawston and Whittlesford need stations. Whittlesford Parkway should be moved to Duxford.	203
Neglects strong economic influence of London	506

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Development needs to be balanced across communities.	26, 595
Need a step change in transport infrastructure, that does not rely on cars and buses.	142
All sites should be considered if they include co-housing schemes	344
All developments should include reasonable percentage of Council housing.	386
Large development proposals should not be allowed to bypass local planning and go straight to Government.	113
Running the process backwards – you are deciding on sites before finding companies to use them.	33
Stop planning, reduce Council Tax and let the market decide.	268
Encourage people to downsize to allow existing family homes to become available.	20
Develop a net zero approach to built up areas – new areas are allowed, if old areas are returned to green spaces.	566
Encouragement of sustainable farming and restoration of peatland.	330

Q11. What kinds of home do you think you will need in the next 20 years? Choose as many as you think may apply to you.

Responses	Yes / percentage	No / percentage
11.1 Family Home	325 / 54%	273 / 46%
11.2 Home for one or two people	323 / 54%	275 / 46%
11.3 Student Housing	46 / 8%	552 / 92%
11.4 Co-housing	65 / 11%	533 / 89%
11.5 Sheltered Housing	150 / 25%	448 / 75%
11.6 Retirement Home	205 / 34%	393 / 66*
11.7 Wheelchair adapted home	110 / 18%	488 / 82%
11.8 On a Gypsy or Traveller site	35 / 6%	563 / 94%
11.9 Affordable rent home	155 / 26%	443 / 74%
11.10 Shared ownership home	83 / 14%	515 / 86%
11.11 Market rent home	45 / 8%	553 / 92%

11.12 Market sale home	76 / 13%	522 / 87%
-------------------------------	----------	-----------

Q12. What should we prioritise when planning homes for the future? Choose 4 from the following:

Responses	Yes / percentage	No / percentage
12.1. Energy and water efficiency	510 / 85%	88 / 15%
12.2. Compact development that uses less land	211 / 35%	387 / 65%
12.3. Private gardens	294 / 49%	304 / 51%
12.4. Balconies and shared gardens	146 / 24%	452 / 76%
12.5. Secure cycle parking	305 / 51%	293 / 49%
12.6. Private car parking	185 / 31%	413 / 69%
12.7. Accessibility and adaptability for wheelchair users	182 / 30%	416 / 70%

12.8. Safe streets where children can play outside	383 / 64%	215 / 36%
---	-----------	-----------

Q13. Is there anything else you would like to tell us about what Greater Cambridge should be like in 2041?

Opinion of vision

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
The broad aims, vision + sites in the Plan is correct/ appreciate its attempt to balance competing impulses	16, 85, 196, 245, 249, 270, 497, 503, 581
Wants to see GC as a world leading centre of technical excellence, with homes and environment to match	58
Villagers must accept that the villages need to expand and also allow others to move to them without making it so difficult.	31
Preservationist recommendations, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preservation of green spaces and landscapes • Preservation of green belt • Prioritisation of brownfield sites 	2, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 17, 20, 34, 42, 45, 50, 51, 57, 95, 97, 111, 123, 128, 133, 138, 147, 165, 183, 185, 200, 210, 221, 225, 247, 249, 253, 256, 270, 279, 289, 290, 295, 296, 297, 298, 313, 322, 325, 328, 335, 338, 354, 356, 378, 381, 385, 386,

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All communities should share the burden of any housing needed so that as little countryside as possible is built on • Green belt should have more protection • EWR Southern route shouldn't be allowed to cut through the Green Belt/ important villages with conservation areas • Development should be constrained by amount of available water • Protect Nine Wells Hills/ ensure not blocked by development • Hope there is still farmland to provide produce locally • Preserve few remaining rural villages • Don't ruin rural aspect of the county • The Ox-Cam Arc should be set aside too • No expansion of villages • 'insult' to put more housing in Longstanton • Don't destroy the last remaining paddock in Melbourn 	<p>387, 388, 389, 395, 401, 403, 407, 412, 415, 417, 421, 431, 433, 442, 446, 449, 455, 458, 463, 467, 477, 478, 479, 481, 483, 485, 487, 492, 494, 501, 521, 531, 537, 549, 550, 551, 553, 564, 574, 583, 586, 588, 590, 591, 594, 597</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the river basins including Nine Wells should be protected for say 30 or 50 m on either side • Chalk streams should be protected • Too much development in Petersfield recently that is too tall and unclear how facilities can support it • Don't allow EWR to build a 30ft high embankment across the countryside • Preserve area around Biomedical Campus • No to expansion of Trinity Science Park • Plan to build houses between Mingle Lane & Hinton Way is terrible • Have limited/ moderate growth 	
A densified, compact Cambridge is needed	2, 106
Comments criticising the rate of growth, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The housing/growth projections are based on the pre-levelling up policies. • Needs to take account of how things have changed post-Covid and reduce housing figures/ reduce commercial office space 	132, 163 171, 174, 188, 247, 328, 354, 385, 498, 515, 521, 564

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assumptions about job growth should be reassessed • Combining jobs and housing is a nice idea but doesn't always work as it is easier to move job than move house. Jobs also usually come after housing, e.g., Northstowe • With working from home, people can live much further away from their place of work/ have more dispersed development • Need more data that incorporates climate change <p>Question whether housing can be delivered due to water issues</p>	
New developments need to have character	91
Council is destroying Greater Cambridge	7, 255
Some expansion into the green belt seems inevitable but I think the creation of satellite settlements seems a good way to accommodate expansion in a way that saves Cambridge from becoming an endless urban sprawl and everyone has good access to green open spaces and the countryside.	287

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Concentrate development and new jobs in new towns, ensure there is enough in the new town so that residents do not need to commute in cars	309, 479, 522
Disagree with the concept of compact housing developments. People are looking for space for their families. If people cannot find/afford the space in the Greater Cambridge area, they would choose to move out of the area, rather than live in squashed conditions in the city. This would then defy the objective of reducing commuting/people living closer to their employment.	259, 265
The Greater Cambridge area in 2041 should be dynamic and prosperous	66
Need to encourage employment opportunities outside of city of Cambridge	68
<p>Object to the Plan for reasons including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stop expanding population • Want an underdeveloped and preserved area • no more housing • emphasise retrofitting, not new development 	18, 22, 57, 64, 71, 80 123, 134, 138, 144, 169, 200, 203, 223, 226, 241, 242, 257, 303, 304, 318, 319, 321, 330, 365, 378, 382, 387, 393, 395, 399, 414, 423, 426, 448, 460, 462, 469, 474, 484, 485 486, 488, 495, 500, 503, 504, 507, 513, 529, 545, 569, 573, 576, 578, 586, 592, 595

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited jobs • Don't build on St Matthews Garden • Don't build in Great Shelford • Fewer people will lead to fewer emissions • Vast overestimate of needed houses. Instead, there should be a limited number • Should be net zero change in the sqm of built environment • Easier to decarbonise without growing • Previous developments have brought negative consequences. Trinity Science Park is a prime example of what should be opposed • Expanding Cambridge is against governments levelling-up agenda • Don't build in the city of Cambridge • Plan will exacerbate inequalities • Cambridge will be hit hard by flooding so should stop building and should also stop harm to chalk aquifer • Need to keep it 'nice and quiet' 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development will harm quality of life for residents and their health • Street design of Cambridge is not adequate for population growth • Where is your analysis of the radical changes to work-life patterns post-Covid? • Where is your analysis of climate change degradation of unnecessary new buildings? • Should prioritise less growth and should prioritise small homes instead • Water supply issue • Effect on food security • Democratic deficit in process • Spatial strategy of putting work + employment in one centre is outdated and belongs to industrial age, not digital economy • Based on previous record, the addition of more homes doesn't add to the availability of affordable homes. Nowhere does the plan address this 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transport is in hands of so many different groups, it is difficult to understand who is consulting on what and results in a muddle • Move for sustainable transport will negatively impact the poor + key workers • This Plan is dependent on EWR, but unclear what is happening with OX-CAM Arc + EWR, how can issues such as water be conclusively dealt with? 	
Same as now, but without the unelected Greater Cambridgeshire Partnership	19
Local farmland can be used to provide local food for local people.	11
A greener and friendlier city - working together well as a community and growing more of their own food with vibrant markets selling them.	148
There isn't enough water to support existing plans, let alone adding more.	95

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Greater Cambridge in 2041 should be a better version of what we have today, not a bigger version. No transport through villages that doesn't serve villages.	
Embrace Doughnut economic ideas and principles	89
I am very impressed with the research and thought that has gone into the development of this plan as well as the commitment to genuine consultation.	245
I think it's an awful plan which will destroy and swamp the city of Cambridge and surrounding areas. Why are you so desperate to build so many ugly, pokey, packed in houses to destroy our lovely county?	27
Services should be spread equally, small villages like Fowlmere are usually forgotten	217
Provide new development over infill and there should be no infill of overdeveloped Bourn	171
Understand need for affordable houses, but sites need to be chosen which will not exacerbate environmental and infrastructure pressures	412

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Cambridge should be the world leading Environmental, social, and corporate governance city by then	483
The Plan seems to prioritise biomedical rather than technology. Where is the next Arm (company) going to come from/ grow/ expand? The Plan should not exclude the Trinity Science Park and I request it is put back in the Plan as would also mean North of Cambridge gets a significant new open space with the Country Park	191
I disagree with economic growth plans, which were never put out to public consultation, we've just had to accept this and hence all the subsequent development and congestion that comes with it.	128
I fully appreciate the inevitability of development and need to reduce personal car use in support of global climate change	202
Stop assuming growth should be maximised	119
Most of the population appear to feel that to turn the area into a metropolis is a short-sighted approach given that the UK is a relatively small island in the big scheme of things. Communities	41

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
are being eroded and the population is being distanced from democracy.	
I worry about the impact of all this development on the quality of life for existing residents/ healthcare needs of existing residents, and those who need to drive for work in the city, especially in terms of increased congestion, supply of clean drinking water and the necessary infrastructure and utilities	36, 87
If you want your strategic plans to be meaningful for an uncertain future, you need to design in flexibility so future societies have options to deal with situations beyond our normal current experience. The pressure on local plans to meet population and job growth within local authority areas prevents progress made on a national conversation about where we should be focusing any community growth – i.e., why would we choose to grow a city on the edge of the fens where the extremes of drought and flood are potential threats?	506
No	62, 77, 493

Climate Change

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Need to have high environmental standards, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to be carbon net-zero/ reduce carbon footprint as much as possible • Solar panels on all buildings/ solar farms around the city • Remove the reliance on burning oil. • No gas should be available • Wind turbines for some rural homes for energy generation • Use rainwater harvesting • Reduce carbon usage • Funding for eco-proofing older properties • Prioritise research into climate change and water safeguarding issues • Prioritise improving air quality • All development over 10 new homes should have WLC assessment • Highly insulated houses 	<p>11, 16, 45, 81, 86, 101, 102, 109, 111, 133, 136, 179, 193, 255, 260, 263, 267, 272, 277, 282, 340, 350, 353, 381, 385, 389, 400, 404, 439, 447, 459, 489, 497, 506 508, 510, 513, 535, 551, 561, 566, 574, 575, 582</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heat pumps • More renewable energy • Drones for deliveries • Should focus on repurposing, reducing travel, insulating housing • Important that Service Water Drainage at a site is completely understood. Underground pipes cannot be seen, so an observation window on the important flow pipes should be installed and observed. • Infrastructure within the G.C. area for a comprehensive circular economy, including facility to repair all kinds of goods for resale or charity, recycling of all recoverable materials, use of biomass waste for energy generation by anaerobic digestion, or for carbon sequestration • Geothermal energy should be linked with new developments • All areas to have plug-in EV sockets • Must be designed to passivhaus standard 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Criminal that the new 'wing development' is not using world class standards for efficiency and is only using current building regulations. Should be ambitious as a 'hi-tech' city • Next to no black bin rubbish with people having changed buying habits to only essentials and must haves • New development should have green space which acts as heat sinks in summer and flood attenuation in winter • Is there a case for shared facilities in some residential developments, which might attract climate change conscious purchasers/renters? e.g., shared laundry • Support proposal to require new developments to use a green infrastructure standard such as Building for Nature. Clear targets and requirements help developers by giving them certainty about what they need to do to obtain planning permission 	
<p>Suggestions relating to traffic + congestion, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Radical reduction in motor traffic • Private vehicle free Cambridge 	<p>2, 4, 6, 8, 16, 76, 81, 104, 117, 128, 136, 143, 173, 200, 208, 237, 263, 264, 267, 276, 280, 281, 309, 317, 354, 366, 375,</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Car-free in Greater Cambridge area • Low-emission zones • Cars should automatically be slowed down which would enable speed humps, etc. to be removed • Congestion charge/ penalties for cars should be applied. • Developments should prioritise non-car forms of transport • Filters on traffic on narrow roads • More incentives for people to not use cars • Cars should have to go around city, not in it • Do not funnel traffic down a few streets 	382, 394, 401, 405, 411, 425, 459, 463, 468, 477, 485, 490, 508, 511, 519, 526, 529, 540, 545, 548, 562, 571, 573, 572
Sustainable water supply should be a priority	475
The critical issue of embodied carbon in new buildings has been ignored in this consultation. Car travel is not the main source of carbon emissions.	132
The conversation around embodied carbon is developing fast, with it even being discussed by politicians and in the news. If it isn't possible to introduce targets in this current iteration of the local plan, it would be prudent to include a mechanism to	447

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
enable the local authority to introduce these in future without a whole new Local Plan.	
In G. Cambs there are a considerable number of rural communities reliant on oil. They have ageing power networks without the capacity to install heat pumps or car charging points. There is a risk that these communities will be further left behind. As part of new developments, section 106 agreements must be negotiated to help rural residents also install renewables. There are many roofs in these areas that would benefit from solar PV with batteries plugged into this “smart” network.	593

Biodiversity and green spaces

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Change the overarching approach of the Plan to place more emphasis on safeguarding biodiversity and saving the planet. Comments include:	11, 40, 54, 75, 173, 200, 230, 250, 256, 282, 285, 289, 323, 327, 347, 356, 373, 381, 382, 386, 387, 410, 411, 415, 423, 451, 471, 484, 485, 497, 501, 503, 525

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoiding flooding should be a priority • Must be a huge retrofitting programme • Sustainable water supply should be the absolute priority • Cambridge should be leading on environmental action. • Cambridge should prioritise well-being not just economic growth. • Want GC to be a place where commercial interests do not 'call the shots' in planning • Improving air quality to WHO standards • Needs to be a realistic assessment of water supply/energy supply • Many dangerous suggestions currently in Local Plan, including expansion of Biomedical Campus • Nothing that harms environment should be considered. After environment issues are put front and centre, then Council can address issue of socio-economic improvements 	<p>, 526, 564, 566, 569</p>
<p>Suggestions to improve green spaces including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bigger and more joined up wild areas 	<p>17, 20, 23, 45, 47, 75, 76, 81, 109, 111, 130, 135, 143, 151, 155, 166, 183, 196, 238, 239, 251, 253, 262, 264, 265, 267,</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wildlife corridors • Increase in natural parks with trees and lakes • More trees • A place where locals can help the forest. • Nature reserves where animals can run free • More green spaces • Country parks • Preserve Coton Corridor • Preserve Magog Down area • Develop a wooded area for recreational use • Park on airfield • Protect wildlife and plant-life. Keep wild areas truly wild • New development should not damage trees • Woodland around individual centres • Green spaces need to promote biodiversity • Hedgehog highways • More hedges • Should switch away from pesticides to protect biodiversity 	<p>287, 313, 321, 340, 347, 365, 371, 375, 378, 382, 388, 397, 399, 421, 434, 436, 450, 454, 466, 476, 487, 490, 508, 510, , 511, 519, 525, 542, 543, 548, 553, 562, 566, 568, 574, 575, 579, 587, 588</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Needs to better manage wildlife at Coldham's lane and Snaky Path, but city is good at planting street trees and attractive roundabouts • Where there is development on green field sites (e.g., Darwin Green), the adverse impact would be greatly diminished by stipulating that existing hedgerows, vegetation and topography along existing roads must be maintained. Where such do not exist, a margin of newly planted trees should be required. • The amount of land devoted to car parking and roads should be reduced in favour of more space for trees and plantings, which will help to absorb carbon and make roads and streets more pleasant. • The number of dedicated nature reserve sites should be increased proportionate to any new housing. • Green places to get away from people + public transport links to get to these places 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At least 1 hectare of NEW high quality nature space for every 10 new homes, within a 5-minute walk from those homes. • Mix of woodland, meadows, marshland, ponds, etc, with walkways. • A new country park in Longstanton or Northstowe 	
<p>Keep natural habitat compared to the vast tracts of open crop fields. On the crop fields, promote cycling and create wildlife corridors. Don't allow private owners of meadows to sell them for development</p>	166
<p>It is paramount that Grantchester meadows be included as an integral part of G. Cambs green infrastructure. This would extend the Cambridge Nature Network. The plan mentions King's College specifically as a potential delivery partner. It should work with them and Cambridge Past Present and Future to create a conservation covenant across the Grantchester Meadow area. This would aid its inclusion in the W.Cambridge buffer zone. Low carbon public transport should</p>	593

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
be provided into and around the area. Litter collection, car travel and parking all need to be organised better.	

Wellbeing and social inclusion

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Community food facilities, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allotments • Small agriculture that can provide fresh fruit and vegetables to the locality in ways that enhance the soil, nature and biodiversity • Should create facilities to promote knowledge of where food comes from and where people can enjoy food together • Zero food waste 	9, 262, 363, 371, 400
A safer/ inclusive area, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open and visible new streets • Safer streets where children can play 	106, 202, 251, 354, 466. 468, 497, 510, 529, 540, 582, 490

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better lighting • New development should be well-maintained 	
<p>Healthier communities, comments included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tackling mental and physical health issues. And for health care a more long term and preventative system including exercise, complementary therapies and community building to prevent loneliness. • Recent blocks of flats will not lead to healthy communities • New housing needs adequate open, green space • Trees should provide shade on streets • Emphasise community building 	121, 134, 148, 206, 265, 373, 385 398, 407, 421, 439, 468
<p>Another hospital.</p>	521
<p>Community facilities, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retirement homes for old people • Community centre • Provision for arts activities • Community theatres • Galleries 	8, 119, 262, 369, 378, 410, 422, 466, 487, 542, 551, 553, 575, 579

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One respondent is supportive of policy WS/CF to protect community/ sports/ leisure facilities • All housing needs new GP surgeries • Small neighbourhoods with public spaces would be welcome • Ninewells is currently without a community centre • Require a sliding scale of contribution from all new developments not just those over a certain threshold • More facilities for young people • A swimming pool in Northstowe 	
<p>More leisure facilities, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow permissions for entertainment venues and retail parks outside of Cambridge so everyone doesn't have to travel to Cambridge • More wet weather activities for families • Emphasis on 'square lifestyle' in main city with outdoor seating and licenses for bars and coffee shops until 2am • City needs a world class concert hall like Saffron Hall • Skateboarding facilities that light up at night 	48, 52, 81, 239, 246, 408, 410. 413, 466, 514, 516

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need skateboarding facilities on the new meadows' development 	
Sport facilities	81, 466
<p>Social justice aspirations, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Break down the barriers between the university elite, super rich and those from lower socio economic groups - there is a feeling of fragmentation at present • No homeless people • Investing in poorer parts of the city • The colleges should do more, particularly working with deprived schools in the city • Reducing inequality across the city • Poor people shouldn't be pushed to the margins • Consider controlling visitor/ tourist numbers, possibly through tourist tax • Concentrate on moving economic activity to areas that actually need it. • Control on greedy growth 	148, , 151, 169, 339, 509
School improvements, including:	135, 361, 490, 511, 548

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be schools for people of all ages • There should be more SEN schools • Schools should never be on major roads. 	
<p>Not enough commitment to connect jobs, culture and social facilities. Facilities promised by developers during the early days of big developments have been quietly forgotten and replaced by flats. A whole generation of bored teenagers have been neglected by unimaginative plans that have not delivered pools, gyms, etc.</p>	171

Great places

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Identity considerations, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protect old buildings • Maintaining differentiation between city and villages • Too much traffic currently in Cambridge, don't spoil it more. 	46, 69, 70, 71, 105, 106, 249, 286, 296, 356, 386, 390, 407, 418, 480, 492, 494, 540, 548, 574,

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain the beauty + identity of villages • No urban sprawl • Less isolating • Cambridge should not become a dormitory town for London 	
<p>Why are the centres of these new developments pound-stores and supermarkets? Surely in a region with Cambridge's history of innovation we can be more imaginative in our urban design – creating village squares that are the heart of historic market towns, precincts and Saturday craft and food markets, and small units for sole traders and start-ups?</p>	171

Jobs

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>More commercial facilities are needed to improve the lives of citizens, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pubs • Shops • Cafes 	31, 262, 362, 408, 470, 471, 490, 510, 511, 526, 548, 575, 598

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing developments need shops that will act as a 'natural centre' • Amenities should not be an afterthought • Amenities should be close to housing to reduce need to travel • Need to move away from out-of-town shopping centres 	
Out of town shopping areas are needed	47
More businesses are needed	31

Homes

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Housing suggestions, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low-rise flats of 3/4 levels, including basements and roof top gardens • Green spaces between houses • Many new homes are needed • Provide more housing for people to downsize into • Lack of smaller, affordable homes • Less large luxury homes/ luxury suburbs are needed 	<p>9, 16, 73, 106, 111, 128, 169, 179, 181, 218, 231, 251, 253, 266, 280, 283, 337, 407, 432, 439, 490, 500, 510, 511, 519, 540, 548, 579</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should exceed minimum space standards • New housing should be beautiful • Keep innovating like Marmalade Lane • New housing should fit in with local architecture • Should ensure housing is well-insulated • Use sustainable materials to build houses • Needs to be well-designed and big enough • Must be truly sustainable • Need an emphasis on quality, smaller developments • New developments should not be cut off from amenities • Use brick and tiles, not render • Should be in harmony with existing neighbourhoods and not pull-down quality pre-existing buildings • Ensure enough homes for old people • Provide support for housebuilders to ensure pace of construction isn't slowed down. • Intention to build more compact buildings is not a good idea as it will destroy wildlife 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrating different housing types and construction of regular meeting places can improve community cohesion • All new housing should be constructed to be water neutral, and no housing should be built until the problem of unsustainable abstraction is resolved adequately. • Housing should be on quiet neighbourhood streets that are good for cycling because they have very low levels of car traffic. • Nuclear housing development 	
<p>Affordability suggestions including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing • More small homes, closer together • Homes for essential workers • Housing needed for biotech industry • Much lower house prices • Making Cambridge a more affordable place for young people • Affordable housing should be mixed with other tenures 	<p>8, 52, 76, 81, 99, 121, 169, 278, 323, 327, 339, 340, 344, 348, 349, 360, 381, 383, 385, 392, 420, 466, 471, 475 ,503, 575</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greater emphasis on community/ co-housing housing • More council housing • Need to ensure there is a community on new housing estates • 40% affordability should be rigorously enforced and a large % of this being at social rent level 	
<p>Housing development should be where there is employment within 200m</p> <p>We should have pockets of developments - say c 500 people to a unit and then gaps; with greater gaps over say 2000 people. And allow commercial and entrepreneurial activities to develop - leave room for future technology changes and growth of both population / commercial activities.</p>	289
<p>Need to ensure that the Local Plan allocates enough houses so that uncontrolled development isn't taking place in unsustainable village locations</p>	213
<p>Do more to change people owning multiple homes/ stop wealthy landlords owning multiple homes</p>	64, 210

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Less ugly new builds that look like shipping containers/ City should flow out from its historic core/ Developments should look less like prison blocks and more like “English” houses.	45, 49, 106, 283, 286, 334, 337

Infrastructure

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>Comments about infrastructure, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must not fall into what has happened with the last Local Plan where housing was built without infrastructure • Must ensure all infrastructure is right and put in place first before any developments are allowed to be built. • Must be open if development is going to be placed onto busway stops, the parish councils must be informed so that they can plan for proper infrastructure. • Must be realistic + build only number of houses that can be sustained by water, infrastructure etc. 	90, 126, 141, 171, 187, 202, 225, 243, 249, 260, 271, 365, 382, 439, 463, 465, 468, 470, 505, 513, 526, 537, 551

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of development is causing massive parking, school capacity and health capacity issues, yet you still allow it? • Spend less money on roundabouts, but more on pavements • Current infrastructure must improve • Developers must be held to account and actually deliver amenities • Building too many houses without infrastructure is very stressful for residents • Cambridge is an old town, and the centre cannot support the number of people who it seems will be here by 2041. The infrastructure in and around the city needs to be thought about proactively rather than reactively. • Want to see it become a city with adequate water, power, digital and communications infrastructure • Sewerage treatment plants should be built to adequately support any new housing development. 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use planning conditions to mandate micro generation like solar panels on all new dwellings, and EV charge points for new developments. • Mandate 1Gbps network connections as a minimum for new development. 	
<p>Transport improvements, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate parking spaces (possible underground garages) • Routes which encourage active transport • Better road surfaces to make cycling safer • Scooter for hire schemes • Areas to prioritise cycling and walking over cars • Safe, lit walking routes, especially for women + children • P & R should run 24/7, be more regular be doubled in size and linked to train. Suggestion it should be free. • We need a metro system • Bicycles should be prioritised at junctions 	<p>8, 20, 29, 45, 48, 53, 66, 68, 76, 83, 84, 86, 87, 93, 104, 106, 108, 117, 120, 121, 123, 128, 130, 136, 142, 143, 151, 159, 166, 169, 171, 179 , 200, 202, 206, 212, 218, 221, 225, 228, 233, 239, 242, 246, 251, 253, 263, 264, 265, 276, 278, 282, 284, 299, 306, 309, 317, 325, 327, 337, 343, 347, 354, 358, 362, 371, 373, 382, 384, 394, 398, 400, 404, 405, 408, 410, 411, 412, 415, 417, 422, 425, 434, 453, 454, 459, 463, 468, 475, 477, 485, 490, 491, 492, 497, 499, 509, 510, 511, 519, 520, 522, 525, 526, 528, 530, 534, 544, 545, 546, 551, 552, 553, 554, 557, 560, 561, 562, 564, 568, 571, 575, 577, 582, 598</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should include disabled people and ensure that they also have good transport. Inclusive cycle routes for all forms of travel • Intersecting bus routes, not linear ones • More footpaths open to public • Cycle paths for all ages • Develop travel hubs in towns and villages with links to Cambridge • Better connectivity to areas outside of the Greater Cambridge area. • Buses to be electric, hydrogen or zero emissions • Use small buses not double deckers • Need modern buses • Remember, not everyone can cycle, should prioritise pedestrians • Schools need to be located off main roads • Public transport needs to run for later hours • Improve links of new towns, such as Cambourne, to Cambridge 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • South Cambridgeshire villages need better public transport to Addenbrookes and the City Centre for our elderly, students and those who work on the biomedical site and city centre. • Secure, attractive bike parking/ storage • Affordable and reliable public transport services are desperately needed. • Centralised bus system with one price per ticket which could be switched on different services • Free public transport • More space between cars and people • Transport should link from Cambridge to tourist sites outside of Cambridge • Pedestrianisation of Cambridge centre • Cheap underground railway • Pavement needs to be widened along the Moor near Melbourn • Provision of public areas to access services + green spaces 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Busway needs improvements • Through-routes to traffic should be avoided in residential areas, including villages. The strategic road network should be the primary route for heavy traffic. Provision for segregated active travel should be made alongside these roads with regular safe crossing. • Roads need to be drastically improved to cope with population and vehicle use • Delivery should be based around delivery hubs so last-mile is cycle-based • Rapid transit connections to the centre of Cambridge and station are needed, especially from new developments • Set a policy that all new developments will have at least 50% of journeys by cycling and walking • Developers are continually getting away with providing poor quality cycle parking. • Cycle parking needs to be usable by non-standard cycles, including cargo cycles 	

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Metro needed with stations setting out to village/ other settlements in Cambridgeshire 	
Forget guided bus, tram and metro schemes as too expensive for returns	263
Congestion charging is not the answer. Congestion occurs mildly at two peak times each weekday.	325
Private electric cars are not sustainable transport	571
Prioritise train/ light-rail/ tram network and reduce some bus services	265
<p>Need diverse public transport, adjusted to the different needs of the region and competing for every single passenger. Relying on solely on buses is a mistake and you'll likely to see the effects of that when people start leaving the Greater Cambridge because of a ghetto style of house development with poor access to Cambridge while the elites can cycle and walk to work.</p>	131
<p>Comments relating to cars</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve planning for electric cars, including electric car charging point 	38, 46, 324, 468, 477, 509, 526, 547, 564, 575, 582

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each dwelling should have charging point • EV charging points should not obstruct paths. • Communal charging points for flats • Incentives for electric cars • Think about self-driving cars 	
<p>Until the public transport system can provide affordable, reliable and frequent journeys that support peoples' individual lifestyle choices, provision for the car should not be sacrificed/ some car travel might be unavoidable// Both the climate and air quality concerns of cars will naturally go with the move to electric cars, so no need for the local plan to solve those problems / stop closing roads in the city</p>	72, 87, 112, 215, 243, 306, 554, 577
<p>Cambridge has one of the largest proportions of the classic car market (£10bpa) in the UK. By reducing car access and bringing in emission's charges, many small businesses will have to close or move and the £10b will reduce and with it tax.</p>	261
<p>Don't forget about electrical power generation. Where is it all going to come from, and how resilient are the systems in place to unusual weather and/or malicious attack?</p>	24

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
In relation to water issues, abstraction rates may need to be reduced significantly to safeguard natural river flow and there is no capacity to increase groundwater abstraction from the chalk	171
More affordable parking	47, 81
No parking facilities in new development / should be a rare exception on new developments	102, 552
Please abandon the proposed travel hub near Babraham as it will destroy the greenbelt and numerous habitats along the way with no benefit for the residents. It is hugely expensive as well. Make improvements along A1307 instead or restore the old railway from Haverhill.	533, 538, 597

Other comments

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
It would be nice if the planners were honest instead of asking for input on a deal, they have already agreed behind closed doors.	74

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
Colleges should free up land to sell for building on, so much of their land is unused and central.	81
Better than Carbon neutral; restoring nature, drawing down Carbon and with a vibrant blooming natural environment.	89
Can we honestly say the last local plan is improving Cambridge?	90
Policy 60 in the existing 2018 Cambridge Local Plan must - in all iterations of the Local Plan - be not only retained 100% in full but also strengthened to make it more easily observed and enforced.	12, 265
Support Policy 23, of the 2018 Cambridge Local Plan.	12, 265
Cambridge should be cleaner	323
My home area is green but overrun by those who do not live here to use it for anti-social behaviour	308
There have been suggestions that the government is planning to override planners with very large-scale developments this is not helpful in the long-term growth of this area. Strongly oppose massive developments	424

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
In an updated version of Policy 23 the boundary of the 'Eastern Gate Opportunity Area' must be redrawn to exclude both the northern half of St Matthew's Piece and the allotments on New Street	12
<p>Comments about relocation of Wastewater Treatment Plant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like the Northeast Cambridge proposal not to be dependent on the unnecessary relocation of the Wastewater Treatment Works to Green Belt Land • Disagree with relocation of Plant • Keep the Cowley Road treatment plant where it is. as it will ruin the green belt and waste our taxpayer's money/ it should be shown on the Local Plan/ Local people should be listened to 	60, 100, 146, 150, 385, 395, 438, 461, 518, 594
Want it to be a place people want to live and will look after	133
Copy the Netherlands	15
As it is now rural and happy	30
It will be covered in concrete ugly boxes and drinking water will be rationed. There won't be any green belt left, and no one will want to live here.	63

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
A town that is safe from rising sea levels. A town that is a safe place to be for my children and grandchildren. A town that plays its part in saving the planet.	101
Overdevelopment threatens to undermine social cohesion and it will be essential to support resident/ interest groups in new developments to maintain civic identity/ social fabric	190
I feel that nobody in government or government is listening to the voices of residents, but only to the voices of those who want to make money	134
Works shall be done to Newmarket Road	157
Needs to take account of how things have changed post-Covid and working in coastal towns should be prioritised	175
Be bold and use all space, don't restrict to certain areas	204
Avoid Thakeham new town/ Should not be accepted just because they give money to government/ Thakeham tried to bypass democracy	164, 233, 270, 293, 595
It depends if the railway to the West gets built or not.	177
It was a bad idea to move the Council offices to Alconbury, as public transport access is terrible	113

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
It should be like it was in 1991 - a nice place to live.	268
Thankfully I will no longer be here to see my beloved Cambridge transformed into an urban new town.	273
I should like if there are planning conditions attached to a planning application that these are carried through and checked	275
New development should only be made after substantial consultation with members of the public. Could the attached survey be attached to the Cambridge News as it not everyone uses computers	386
Need to advertise Local Plan initiatives	437
To Question 11, I would like to add: housing that cannot be used as buy-to-let or second homes - must be primary residence. Question 12 I would like to add prioritise proper drainage and sewerage - in Longstanton we suffer as our sewerage systems often overflow as they have not been updated to take into account the extra load from more houses and residents. In addition, the development has caused more flooding, whilst also adversely affecting the local water table.	168

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>There seems to be little accountability for the developers of projects, section 106 agreements are not honoured, and restrictions ignored, and it feels like developers are there to make a quick buck and there is no care for what is actually being delivered and the long term impact. I strongly feel there should be no more development additional to what has already been signed off in Longstanton and Northstowe. We have had over a decade of constant development and noise, there needs to be an end point and our green spaces need protecting for local wildlife as well as for drainage and water absorption.</p>	
<p>No more cheap flights or foreign packaged holidays</p>	<p>508</p>
<p>I would like to see analysis of the % of dwellings that are a) for students and b) foreign investor owned and for the latter, are these all occupied or are many vacant? If there has been an increase in either of these over the recent years, I would like to see a discussion on whether there should be a limit on both. Colleges and investors buy up a lot of property in the City, pricing locals out of the market. This is exacerbating the need for housing and should not be allowed to get worse.</p>	<p>441</p>

Summary of issues raised in comments	Comments highlighting this issue
<p>2041? By the time you sort this out and get the ball rolling it will be useless and too small for everybody's needs.</p> <p>2041 you should be ashamed of yourselves.</p>	236
<p>The St Neots road cycleway should be a source of shame the anyone involved with the planning and development of Camborne and is a key example of why there is so much public cynicism about new developments, and the single minded profiteering of the developers.</p>	171
<p>Plan is so dependent on EWR, but unclear what will happen with this.</p>	595
<p>EWR Southern approach should be rejected</p>	593
<p>Wording of Plan suggests EWR is approved, but the business case is flawed</p>	171
<p>Yes, the results of this questionnaire be published.</p>	482
<p>Change its name, housing already decided</p>	409